

# PRRAC

## *Poverty & Race Research Action Council*

1200 18<sup>th</sup> St. NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20036 • 202/906-8023 • Fax 202/842-2885  
www.prrac.org

### **APPENDIX B: State, Local, and Federal Laws Barring Source-of-Income Discrimination *Updated July 2013***

Set out below is a compilation of state, local, and federal statutes prohibiting discrimination in the housing market based on source of income. Please use the hyperlinks on this page to navigate through the document. This compilation updates research compiled by PRRAC in 2009 and also draws on work originally prepared by the National Housing Law Project and the Center for Policy Alternatives. Many thanks to both organizations for sharing their work. Thanks also to LeAnna Ware, Wisconsin Equal Rights Division; Ed Johnson, Oregon Law Center; Mary Hahn, Washington Lawyer's Committee; Ralph Menendez, NJ Division of Civil Rights; Rachel Batterson, Vermont Legal Aid; Connie Pascale, NJ Legal Services; Marty Blaustein, Utah Legal Services; Ken Shiotani, NDRN; Michael Miller, Legal Aid Oklahoma; Jeffrey Dillman, Housing Research and Advocacy Center, Cleveland; Jay Wilkinson, Legal Aid of Minnesota, the Tenant Resource Center, WI, and Jason Small at PRRAC. Thanks also to Christie Marra of the Virginia Poverty Law Center and Helen O'Beirne Hardiman for additional legal research in 2012 that has been incorporated into this document. If you discover any errors in this document or have material to add, please contact Ebony Gayles at PRRAC ([egayles@prrac.org](mailto:egayles@prrac.org)).

#### **Outline**

#### **I. STATE STATUTES**

- A. [California](#)
- B. [Connecticut](#)
- C. [District of Columbia](#)
- D. [Maine](#)
- E. [Massachusetts](#)
- F. [Minnesota](#)
- G. [New Jersey](#)
- H. [North Dakota](#)
- I. [Oklahoma](#)
- J. [Oregon](#)
- K. [Utah](#)
- L. [Vermont](#)
- M. [Wisconsin](#)

## II. LOCAL ORDINANCES

- A. [Cities in California](#)
  - A1. [Corte Madera](#)
  - A2. [East Palo Alto](#)
  - A3. [Los Angeles](#)
  - A4. [San Francisco](#)
- B. [Cities in Illinois](#)
  - B1. [Chicago](#)
  - B2. [Cook County](#)
  - B3. [Harwood Heights](#)
  - B4. [Naperville](#)
  - B5. [Urbana](#)
  - B6. [Wheeling](#)
- C. [Iowa City, Iowa](#)
- D. [Counties in Maryland](#)
  - D1. [Frederick](#)
  - D2. [Howard County](#)
  - D3. [Montgomery County](#)
  - D4. [Prince George's County](#)
- E. [Cities in Michigan](#)
  - E1. [Ann Arbor](#)
  - E2. [Hamburg](#)
  - E3. [Grand Rapids](#)
- F. [Saint Louis, Missouri](#)
- G. [Counties/Cities in New York](#)
  - G1. [Buffalo](#)
  - G2. [Hamburg](#)
  - G3. [Nassau Co](#)
  - G2. [New York City](#)
  - G3. [West Seneca](#)
- H. [Cities in Ohio](#)
  - H1. [Wickliffe](#)
- I. [Cities in Pennsylvania](#)
  - I1. [Borough of State College](#)
  - I2. [Philadelphia](#)
- J. [Memphis, Tennessee](#)
- K. [Saint Louis, Missouri](#)
- L. [Counties/Cities in Washington](#)
  - L1. [Bellevue](#)
  - L2. [King County](#)
  - L3. [Seattle](#)
- M. [Cities in Wisconsin](#)
  - M1. [Cambridge](#)
  - M2. [Dane County](#)
  - M3. [Madison](#)
  - M4. [Ripon](#)
  - M5. [Sun Prairie](#)

- M6. [Wauwatosa](#)
- N. [Miami-Dade County](#)

### **III. STATE PROPOSED LEGISLATION**

- A. [Hawaii](#)
- B. [Illinois](#)
- C. [Maryland](#)
- D. [New York](#)

### **IV. FEDERAL LAWS**

- A. [HOME Program](#)
- B. [Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program](#)
- C. [Mark to Market](#)
- D. [Multifamily Units Purchased from HUD](#)
- E. [HUD Regulations and Notices](#)
- F. [Neighborhood Stabilization Act of 2008](#)

### **V. ADDITIONAL RESOURCES**

# STATE LAWS

## California

---

The California source of income discrimination law does not protect Section 8 voucher tenants. *See SABI v. Sterling*, 183 Cal.App.4th 916 (2010). However, the law is supplemented by ordinances in several California cities, including Los Angeles, San Francisco, East Palo Alto, Corte Madera, and Woodland, which do bar voucher discrimination.

In California Government Code Section 12955(p)(1): "Source of income" is defined as "lawful, verifiable income paid directly to a tenant or paid to a representative of a tenant. For purposes of this section, a landlord is not considered a representative of a tenant." California's Fair Employment and Housing Department has held, therefore, that landlords are not required to accept Section 8 housing choice vouchers under the "source of income" discrimination prohibitions. Moreover, even if a landlord accepts a section 8 voucher, a tenant must meet other requirements for tenancy and have the financial resources to pay any rental amounts not covered by a voucher.

### **Date Enacted**

Source of income discrimination was added to §12955 in January 2000 and further amended in 2005.

### **Relevant Case Law:**

*Morrison v. Vineyard Creek*, 193 Cal. App. 4th 1254, 123 Cal. Rptr. 3d 414 (2011): Landlord did not harass or discriminate against tenant, based on her source of income, when it advised tenant of its good faith belief that tenant could not operate day care in apartment premises, as required for tenant to be entitled to attorney's fees under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act; objection was based on lease's express limitation of the use of the apartment to private residential purposes; landlord's prohibition was against all nonresidential uses, not just family day care homes, and landlord was aware at time of rental that tenant's primary source of income was from her work as a nanny.

*Sabi v. Sterling*, (2010) 183 C.A.4th 916, 933, 939, 107 C.R.3d 805. Government assistance payments paid to a landlord under the program known as "Section 8" are not part of a tenant's income for purposes of Govt.C. 12955, and a landlord's refusal to participate in the program does not constitute source of income discrimination.

*Sisemore v. Master Financial, Inc.*, 60 Cal. Rptr. 3d 719, 724 (Cal. Ct. App. 2007) (finding that despite the language of subsection (p)(1), protection against discrimination on the basis of source of income is not limited to landlords and tenants and may be applied to borrowers and lenders).

## **Operative Language:**

Cal. Gov't Code § 12955; effective January 1, 2005 (Section of the Fair Employment and Housing Act):

"For the purposes of this section, 'source of income' means lawful, verifiable income paid directly to a tenant or paid to a representative of a tenant. For the purposes of this section, a landlord is not considered a representative of a tenant."

## **Rules Pertaining to Source of Income**

Source of income discrimination applies to landlords; real estate brokers, home sellers, mortgage companies, and banks may not refuse to deal with you because of the source of your income. They also cannot charge a higher deposit or treat you differently because of your source of income.

A landlord cannot advertise or state a preference for certain sources of income. However, a landlord can have a minimum income requirement, such as two or three times the rent.

A landlord can require each person in the household to meet the minimum income requirement separately only if he or she would make a husband and wife who applied each meet the income requirement separately. Otherwise, the landlord must consider all household members' incomes combined to determine whether the household as a whole meets the minimum income requirement.

If the applicant receives a governmental rent subsidy, such as Section 8 or Shelter Plus Care, landlords can only use a minimum income requirement that relates to the tenant's portion of the rent. For example, suppose a landlord requires that a tenant's income must be three times the rent of \$900. If an applicant has a Section 8 voucher with a tenant-portion of the rent being only \$200 (because of her income), then the landlord can only require her income to be \$600 (three times her portion of the rent), not \$2700 (three times the total rent).

A landlord can ask what an applicant's source of income is as long as he/she do not discriminate based on that information.

The fair housing protections for source of income apply to all housing EXCEPT a home in which the landlord lives and rents out only one room.

## **Enforcement Process**

The Fair Employment and Housing Act may be enforced under § 12980 by filing a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH). One can also file a civil action in an appropriate court. The DFEH can be contacted at (800) 884-1684. See also: <http://www.dfeh.ca.gov/Complaints.htm> for general information about complaints and <http://esq5.houdiniesq.com/dfeh2/esq/reg/> to directly file a complaint online.

If the department indicates that it will not issue a notice of the complaint, the complainant has the right to bring a civil action against the person named in the verified complaint. (§ 12980(h)). A filing with the department for a discrimination claim does not bar an individual from seeking redress in the courts, but once an individual files a civil action, the department shall terminate its proceedings. A civil action must be brought within 2 years after the “occurrence or the termination of an alleged discriminatory housing practice, or the breach of a conciliation agreement entered into, whichever occurs last.” § 12989.1.

## **Attorney’s Fees**

---

## **Connecticut**

---

Connecticut’s source of income discrimination statute, Connecticut General Statutes, section 46a-64c, was passed in 1989. The law prohibits discrimination against all lawful forms of income, including state and federal housing vouchers, federal welfare or disability assistance, etc. The statute has been challenged twice in court; in both cases, the Connecticut Supreme Court upheld the law. *See Commission on Human Rights & Opportunities v. Sullivan Associates*, 739 A.2d 238 (Conn. 1999); *Commission on Human Rights & Opportunities v. Sullivan*, 939 A.2d 541 (Conn. 2008).

In Connecticut, each of the following programs are considered a lawful source of income: Section 8 housing voucher; state housing assistance, such as the Rental Assistance Program (RAP) and Transitional Rental Assistance Program (T-RAP); and using the Security Deposit Guarantee Program in lieu of paying cash for a security deposit.

## **Date Enacted**

Connecticut’s source of income discrimination statute was enacted in 1989.

## **Relevant Case Law**

*Commission on Human Rights & Opportunities v. Sullivan Associates*, 739 A.2d 238 (Conn. 1999) (*Sullivan I*) (upholding the statute and finding that landlords may only consider the Section 8 recipient’s personal rent obligation and other reasonable obligations associated with the rental when assessing sufficiency of income).

*Commission on Human Rights & Opportunities ex rel. Palmer v. Burkamp*, , CVH7749, 2012 WL 2850985 (Conn. Super. May 21, 2012) (Housing assistance which is public or state administered is a lawful source of income.)

*Francia v. Mount Vernon Fire Ins. Co.*, CV084032039S, 2012 WL 1088544 (Conn. Super. Ct. Mar. 6, 2012). Denying motion to strike complaint by landlord against insurance company and insurance broker for refusing to provide general liability insurance on his multi-family property because more than 20% of his tenants paid with HCV.

*Commission on Human Rights & Opportunities Ex Rel. Arnold v. Forvil*, 302 Conn. 263, 274 (Conn. 2011) (Security Deposit Guarantee issued by the State is a lawful source of income under statute).

*Commission on Human Rights & Opportunities v. Sullivan*, 939 A.2d 541 (Conn. 2008) (upholding the statute and affirming *Sullivan I*).

### **Operative Language**

Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 46a-63:

“‘Lawful source of income’ means income derived from Social Security, supplemental security income, housing assistance, child support, alimony or public or state-administered general assistance.”

### **Enforcement Process**

The Connecticut source of income law can be enforced either through the state Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities or in state court. Complaints may be filed with the Commission’s Fair Housing Unit at (860) 541-3403 or (800) 477-5737 ext. 3403. See also: <http://www.ct.gov/chro/cwp/view.asp?a=2524&Q=316274>

The Commission’s responsibilities include issuing a complaint of its own volition if discrimination is suspected; investigating and mediating discriminatory practice complaints; and holding hearings relating to any matter under investigation. A private cause of action remains after the Commission’s release, which can be filed in the superior court for the judicial district in which the discriminatory practice is alleged to have occurred. Any action involving state agency or official may be brought in the superior court for the judicial district of Hartford.

A private cause of action may be brought in certain circumstances, but must be brought within one year of the alleged discriminatory practice or of a breach of a conciliation agreement entered into pursuant to this chapter.

### **Attorney’s Fees**

The Commission can award attorney fees, or seek them in court on the complainant’s behalf. See, *Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities v. Sullivan* 939 A.2d 541 (Conn. 2008) (finding that a landlord challenging prospective tenant’s claim for attorney fees had the right to call the tenant’s attorney as a witness in order to question her regarding her affidavit and billing records and test reasonableness of fees); see also, *Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities v. Litchfield Housing Authority*, 978 A.2d 136 (Conn. 2009). (seeking an award of costs and reasonable attorney’s fees)

### **Organizations Helping Victims of Discrimination**

Victims of source of income discrimination can contact the Connecticut Fair Housing Center at (888) 247-4401.

## District of Columbia

---

The D.C. Human Rights Act of 1977 (D.C. Code Ann. § 2-1402.21) prohibits discrimination on the basis of income or its derivation, including Section 8 voucher assistance.

### **Date Enacted**

Source of Income discrimination was added to the D.C. Human Rights Act (DCHRA) on February 9, 2005.

### **Relevant Case Law**

*Bourbeau v. Jonathan Woodner Co.*, 549 F. Supp. 2d 78 (D. D.C. 2008) (holding that the DCHRA, prohibiting source of income housing discrimination against federally funded rental assistance voucher holders, did not alter, amend, or conflict with federal statute establishing Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP), permitting landlords to accept as many or as few voucher holders as they chose, as required for preemption of DCHRA, under the Supremacy Clause, since preemption would affect District's power to regulate matter of local concern, and DCHRA's nondiscrimination requirement neither compelled nor permitted parties to violate any provision of HCVP and advanced HCVP's objective of aiding low-income families in obtaining decent place to live).

*Feemster v. BSA Limited Partnership*, 471 F. Supp. 2d 87 (D.D.C. 2007) (mem.) (stating that DCHRA requires a showing that a landlord's refusal to accept vouchers is based on discrimination rather than the desire to ready the property for sale).

### **Operative Language**

D.C. Code Ann. § 2-1401.02: Definition of Source of Income:

“‘Source of income’ means the point, the cause, or the form of the origination, or transmittal of gains of property accruing to a person in a stated period of time; including, but not limited to, money and property secured from any occupation, profession or activity, from any contract, agreement or settlement, from federal payments, court-ordered payments, from payments received as gifts, bequests, annuities, life insurance policies and compensation for illness or injury, except in a case where conflict of interest may exist.”

D.C. Code Ann. § 2-1402.21(e): “The monetary assistance provided to an owner of a housing accommodation under Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, approved August 22, 1974 (88 Stat. 662; 42 U.S.C. § 1437f), either directly or through a tenant, shall be considered a source of income under this section.”

D.C. Code Ann. § 42-2851.06(c): “The owner of a housing accommodation shall not refuse to rent a dwelling unit to a person because the person will provide his or her rental payment, in whole or in part, through a Section 8 voucher.”

## **Enforcement Process**

The D.C. source of income law can be enforced either through the Commission on Human Rights or in the D.C. courts. The DCOHR may be contacted at (202) 727-4559. For more information on fair housing, go to <http://www.ohr.washingtondc.gov/ohr/cwp/view.a,3,q,627574,ohrNav,%7C30953%7C.asp> or see <http://ohr.dc.gov/complaint> for more information about how to file a complaint.

The commission can hear and decide cases of unlawful discrimination. Additionally, a private cause of action can be filed as provided in Sec. 2-1403.16 for anyone claiming to be aggrieved by an unlawful discriminatory practice.

## **Attorney's Fees**

The District of Columbia Human Rights Act does not require courts to award reasonable attorney's fees to prevailing parties, but rather, confirms court's discretionary authority over attorney's fee applications. *See*, D.C.Code 1981, §§ 1-2501 et seq., 1-2553, 1-2553(a)(1), 1-2556, 1-2556(b); *see also*, *Thompson v. International Ass'n of Machinists and Aerospace Workers*, 664 F. Supp. 578 (1987) (holding that the goal of awarding attorney's fees in civil rights cases is to attract competent counsel for these cases, but not to provide them with windfalls).

## **Organizations Helping Victims of Discrimination**

- Equal Rights Center [http://www.equalrightscenter.org/site/PageServer?pagename=issues\\_housing](http://www.equalrightscenter.org/site/PageServer?pagename=issues_housing)
- Washington Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights & Urban Affairs (established in 1968) with pro bono assistance from private law firms. <http://www.washlaw.org/projects/fair-housing>

---

## **Maine**

---

The Maine Human Rights Act protects recipients of both state and federal housing assistance from discrimination on the basis of their status as a recipient. ME. REV. STAT. ANN. tit. 5, § 4582.

## **Date Enacted**

In 1975 the Maine Human Rights Act was amended to include a provision prohibiting the refusal to rent or the imposition of different tenancy terms to individuals receiving public assistance. The law was further amended in 1985, making the provisions applicable to any person furnishing public accommodations, and made discrimination unlawful where refusal to rent or imposition of different tenancy terms is done primarily because an individual is receiving public assistance.

## **Operative Language**

ME. REV. STAT. ANN. tit. 5, § 4581-A:

“It is unlawful housing discrimination, in violation of this Act:

For any person furnishing rental premises or public accommodations to refuse to rent or impose different terms of tenancy to any individual who is a recipient of federal, state or local public assistance, including medical assistance and housing subsidies primarily because of the individual's status as recipient.”

### **Enforcement Process**

The Maine Human Rights Act may be enforced by filing a charge with the Maine Human Rights Commission. The Commission must issue a right-to-sue letter before a civil complaint may be filed in state court.

The Commission can investigate all conditions and practices that allegedly "detract from the enjoyment, by each inhabitant of the State, of full human rights and personal dignity," including investigating all forms of discrimination, whether carried out legally or illegally, and whether by public agencies or private persons." (ME. REV. STAT. ANN. tit. 5, § 4566).

The Commission may hold hearings on any case being investigated and may also file an action in the superior court.

### **Attorney's Fees**

Attorney's fees are covered under § 4614. Attorneys' fees and costs may be awarded in the court's discretion to the prevailing party, other than the commission, under ME. REV. STAT. ANN. tit. 5, § 4614.

Housing applicant was “prevailing party” in his action alleging that federally subsidized housing project owner's use of application that inquired into nature of person's disability was illegal, and thus, applicant was entitled to attorney's fee award under Maine Human Rights Act. *Robards v. Cotton Mill Associates*, 713 A.2d 952 (Me. 1998).

## **Organizations Helping Victims of Discrimination**

### **Advocacy Groups and Legal Resources**

Maine Volunteer Lawyers  
Project  
Tel: 1-800-442-4293

Maine Equal Justice  
Project  
Tel: 207-626-7058 or  
866-626-7059

Disability Rights Center  
Statewide Hotline: 800-  
452-1948

---

## **Massachusetts**

---

Massachusetts source of income discrimination law, MASS. GEN. LAWS ANN. ch. 151B, § 4, prohibits discrimination against individuals or families receiving public assistance or rental subsidies, or because of any of the requirements of these programs.

### **Date Enacted** **Amendments**

Source of income was originally included in subsection 10 of the Massachusetts law on Aug. 31, 1971. The law was later amended in 1989 to clarify language in order to overcome a damaging State Supreme Court interpretation.

### **Relevant Case Law**

*DiLiddo v Oxford Street Realty, Inc.*, 876 N.E.2d 421 (Mass. 2007) (holding that the terms of the voucher program lease are requirements that cannot be rejected by landlords or their agents, and that agents can be held liable for discrimination).

*Attorney Gen. v. Brown*, 511 N.E.2d 1103 (Mass. 1987) (finding that because a landlord did not discriminate against a Section 8 voucher holder “solely” on the basis of the tenant’s status as a participant in the program, that the landlord’s actions were lawful. The legislature subsequently removed “solely” and added new language that made it unlawful for a landlord to discriminate either because the person is a housing subsidy recipient or because of any requirements of the program.).

### **Operative Language**

Mass. Gen. Laws Ann. ch. 151B § 4:

“It shall be an unlawful practice: . . . For any person furnishing credit, services or rental accommodations to discriminate against any individual who is a recipient of federal, state, or local public assistance, including medical assistance, or who is a tenant receiving federal, state, or local housing subsidies, including rental assistance or rental supplements, because the individual is such a recipient, or because of any requirement of such public assistance, rental assistance, or housing subsidy program.”

### **Enforcement**

Massachusetts source of income discrimination law can be enforced through the Human Rights Commission or the Massachusetts Commission against Discrimination. A plaintiff can file a complaint with the Commission against Discrimination. If the Commission finds probable cause, then it can commence a suit against the offender. If the offender so chooses, this suit may be resolved in the Superior Court. Additionally, the injured party can substitute herself in this suit so as to seek damages against the landlord. Mass. Gen. Laws Ann. ch. 151B § 3(1-7).

### **Attorney’s Fees**

Mass. Gen. Laws Ann. ch. 151B § 9 requires that a prevailing petitioner be awarded reasonable attorney’s fees and costs “unless special circumstances would render such an award unjust.” *See also, Bandera v. City of Quincy*, 220 F. Supp. 2d 26 (D. Mass. 2002). (holding that mediation fees were includable as part of the reasonable attorney fees and costs allowed by court under Massachusetts civil rights statute).

### **Organizations Helping Victims of Discrimination**

If you have a question, or believe you have been a victim of housing discrimination, you may contact:

**Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination** ([www.state.ma.us/mcad/](http://www.state.ma.us/mcad/))

Boston Office  
(617) 994-6000

Worcester Office  
(508) 799-8010

Springfield Office  
(413) 739-2145

New Bedford Office  
(508) 990-2390

---

## **Minnesota**

---

Minnesota's source of income ("public assistance") law was enacted in 1990. It was later undermined by judicial interpretation in 2003. MINN. STAT. ANN. § 363A.09.

### **Date Enacted**

Minnesota's source of income ("public assistance") law was enacted in 1990.

### **Relevant Case Law**

*Edwards v. Hopkins Plaza Ltd. Partnership*, 783 N.W.2d 171 (Minn. App., 2010) held that participation in Section 8 programs was voluntary and thus it is not "unlawful for property owners to either refuse to rent, or refuse to continue renting, to tenant-based Section 8 recipients based on a legitimate business decision not to participate in Section 8 programs."

### **Operative Language**

MINN. STAT. ANN. § 363A.09:

"'Status with regard to public assistance' means the condition of being a recipient of federal, state, or local assistance, including medical assistance, or of being a tenant receiving federal, state, or local subsidies, including rental assistance or rent supplements."

### **Enforcement:**

In Minnesota, unfair discriminatory practice must be brought as a civil action pursuant to section 363A.33, subdivision 1, filed in a charge with the Department of Human Rights Commission pursuant to section 363A.07, subdivision 3. One may also file a private cause of action as granted in Sec. 363A.28.

- The department can issue complaints, receive and investigate charges alleging unfair discrimination, determine whether probable cause exists for a hearing, subpoena witnesses, take testimony, require production of materials for examination, attempt to eliminate unfair discriminatory practice (Sec. 363A.06).
- There is a private cause of action granted in Sec. 363A.28. Any person can either bring a civil action or file a verified charge with the commissioner.

### **Attorney's Fees**

Minn. Stat. Ann. § 363A.33 governs allocation of attorney's fees and allows for an award of reasonable attorney's fees to the prevailing party at the court's discretion.

---

## New Jersey

---

The New Jersey's housing discrimination laws were amended in 2002 to include source of income discrimination.

New Jersey law makes it illegal for a landlord to refuse to rent to a person because the person has a Section 8 voucher or another type of housing assistance. N.J. STAT. ANN. § 10:5-12(g). This applies to tenants who obtain Section 8 assistance while already tenants in a house or apartment and to tenants who are seeking to rent from a landlord for the first time. A landlord cannot refuse to accept rental assistance from a tenant and then turn around and sue to evict that tenant for nonpayment of rent. *See Franklin Tower One, L.L.C. v. N.M.*, 157 N.J. 602 (1999).

### **Date Enacted**

The New Jersey's discrimination laws: N.J. STAT. ANN. §10: 5-12 was amended in 2002 to include source of income discrimination.

### **Relevant Case Law**

*Bell v. Tower Mgmt. Services, L.P.*, A-3165-08T3, 2010 WL 2346651 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. June 11, 2010). Reversing and remanding dismissal of complaint alleging SOI and reasonable accommodation violations by landlord refusing to accept housing subsidy for persons with disabilities because it didn't meet annual income limitations. "The complaint clearly states a cause of action for discrimination based on the adoption of a policy that is allegedly not justified by business necessity but which allegedly has the impact of excluding almost all applicants who intend to pay their rent through an S-RAP subsidy."

The leading case on section 8 voucher law, *Pasquince v. Brighton Arms Apartments*, 378 N.J. Super. 588 (App. Div. 2005), has not set positive precedent in the state, but is very fact specific. In *Pasquince*, the court held that a person with a Section 8 voucher could be denied an apartment if he/she had a poor credit history, even though the poor credit was a result of disability.

*Franklin Tower One v. N.M.*, 157 N.J. 602 (1999) (upholding the statute stating that Section 8 vouchers are covered by source of income protection because the statute prohibits discrimination not only against source of income but also against the source of a lawful rent payment).

*T.K. v. Landmark West*, 802 A.2d 527, (N.J. Super. App., 2002) (finding that trial court did not violate statute and substitute its standards for landlord's business judgment in determining tenant's creditworthiness when it found that tenant's disputed credit problems were used by landlord as a pretext; though statute did not limit landlords from refusing to rent based on creditworthiness, it was up to trial court to make assessment of credibility).

### **Operative Language**

N.J. STAT. ANN. §10:5-4 (2002):

“All persons shall have the opportunity to obtain employment, and to obtain all the accommodations, advantages, facilities, and privileges of any place of public accommodation, publicly assisted housing accommodation, and other real property without discrimination because of race, creed, color, national origin, ancestry, age, marital status, affectional or sexual orientation, familial status, disability, nationality, sex or source of lawful income used for rental or mortgage payments, subject only to conditions and limitations applicable alike to all persons. This opportunity is recognized as and declared to be a civil right.”

### **Enforcement**

Housing discrimination claims in New Jersey can be handled either administratively, through the New Jersey Division of Civil Rights, or via civil action.

### **Attorney’s Fees**

N.J. STAT. ANN. 10:5-27.1 governs attorney’s fees and allows the prevailing party to be awarded “reasonable attorney’s fees” but also includes a bad faith exception.

### **Organizations Helping Victims of Discrimination**

Low-income New Jerseyans can get free legal help by calling toll-free hotline at 1-888-LSNJ-LAW (1-888-576-5529), Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Outside of New Jersey; they can call 732-572-9100 and ask to be transferred to the hotline.

---

## **North Dakota**

---

The North Dakota Code Chapter 14-02.4 and 14-02.5, the Human Rights laws and Housing Discrimination code, govern source of income discrimination (“status with regard to public assistance”). Source of income discrimination is specifically prohibited under N.D. CENT. CODE § 14-02.5-07, passed in 1999. It became the policy of North Dakota not to discriminate based on source of income in 1983 under N.D. CENT. CODE § 14-02.4-01.

### **Date Enacted**

N.D. CENT. CODE, § 14-02.4-01 was passed in 1983  
N.D. CENT. CODE § 14-02.5-07 was passed in 1999.

### **Operative Language:**

N.D. CENT. CODE § 14-02.4-02:

“‘Status with regard to public assistance’ means the condition of being a recipient of federal, state, or local assistance, including medical assistance, or of being a tenant

receiving federal, state, or local subsidies, including rental assistance or rent supplements.”

### **Enforcement**

North Dakota’s source of income discrimination can be enforced through filing a complaint with the Human Rights Division within the Department of Labor, or by filing a private right of action. If the department determines that there is probable cause but cannot resolve the complaint through negotiations or conciliation, the department will provide for an administrative hearing.

### **Attorney’s Fees**

§ 14-02.5-44 governs the allocation of attorney’s fees and provides for the allocation of “reasonable attorney’s fees” to the prevailing party.

---

## **Oklahoma**

---

The Oklahoma’s source of income discrimination law, OKLA. ST. tit. 25, § 1452 was added by Laws 1985, c. 289, § 2 and amended by Laws 1991, c. 177, § 3.

### **Date Enacted**

Oklahoma’s source of income discrimination law was added in 1985 and amended in 1991.

### **Operative Language**

OKLA. ST. tit. 25, § 1452:

“A. It shall be an unlawful discriminatory housing practice for any person, or any agent or employee of such person:

. . . 8. To refuse to consider as a valid source of income any public assistance, alimony, or child support, awarded by a court, when that source can be verified as to its amount, length of time received, regularity, or receipt because of race, color, religion, gender, national origin, age, familial status, or handicap....”

### **Enforcement**

Oklahoma’s source of income discrimination law may be enforced by filing a complaint with the Human Rights Commission or by filing a private cause of action suit within the required statutory period.

### **Attorney’s Fees**

Attorney’s fees are governed by OKLA. ST. tit. 25, §1506.8, which provides for “reasonable attorney’s fees” to the prevailing party.

---

## Oregon

---

Oregon illegal discrimination law, OR. REV. STAT. § 659a.421, was passed in 1995. While source of income discrimination is prohibited, the statute specifically excludes Section 8 housing subsidies from the definition.

### **Date Enacted**

Oregon's illegal discrimination law, OR. REV. STAT. § 659a.421, was passed in 1995.

### **Operative Language**

OR. REV. STAT. § 659A.421:

“‘Source of income’ does not include federal rent subsidy payments under 42 U.S.C. 1437f, income derived from a specific occupation or income derived in an illegal manner.”

“A person may not, because of the race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, national origin, marital status, familial status or source of income of any person:  
(a) Refuse to sell, lease or rent any real property to a purchaser. . . .”

### **Enforcement**

Enforcement is governed by OR. REV. STAT. § 659A.825. The statute may be enforced by filing a complaint with the Bureau of Labor and Industries or by filing a private cause of action in circuit court. A complaint may similarly be filed by the Attorney General.

### **Attorney's Fees**

The allocation of attorney's fees is governed by OR. REV. STAT. § 659A.885. Reasonable attorney's fees are allowable at the court's discretion subject to certain exceptions. In a housing discrimination case, the court “shall award reasonable attorney fees to the commissioner if the commissioner prevails in the action.” OR. REV. STAT. § 659A.885(8).

---

## Utah

---

Utah's Fair Housing Act, UTAH CODE ANN. § 57-21-5, was passed in 1989 and amended to add source of income discrimination in 1993.

### **Date Enacted**

UTAH CODE ANN. § 57-21-5 was amended to add source of income discrimination in 1993.

### **Relevant Cases**

There are no cases citing the source of income provision. There are no cases pending. According to practitioners, the eviction laws favor landlords so extremely, that they are reluctant to take on section 8 recipients for fear of being subjected to additional federal

requirements. If you are interested in additional information regarding Utah, please contact Marty Blaustein at mblaustein@utahlegalservices.org, (801) 328-8891 x 3328.

### **Operative Language:**

UTAH CODE ANN. § 57-21-5:

“(1) It is a discriminatory housing practice to do any of the following because of a person's race, color, religion, sex, national origin, familial status, source of income, or disability:

- (a) refuse to sell or rent after the making of a bona fide offer, refuse to negotiate for the sale or rental, or otherwise deny or make unavailable any dwelling from any person;
- (b) discriminate against any person in the terms, conditions, or privileges of the sale or rental of any dwelling or in providing facilities or services in connection with the dwelling; or
- (c) represent to any person that any dwelling is not available for inspection, sale, or rental when in fact the dwelling is available.”

### **Enforcement:**

Utah's source of income discrimination laws may be enforced pursuant to UTAH CODE ANN. § 57-21-(8-12) by filing a complaint with the Division of Antidiscrimination and Labor under the Labor Commission. One may also file a private right of action. A civil action may be filed by an individual or by the division.

### **Attorney's Fees**

Reasonable attorney's fees are provided for under UTAH CODE ANN. § 57-21-11 at the court's discretion.

---

## **Vermont**

---

Vermont's source of income (referred to as public assistance) discrimination law is codified at VT. STAT. ANN. tit. 9, § 4503 and was passed in 1987.

### **Date Enacted**

Vermont's source of income discrimination law VT. STAT. ANN. tit. 9, § 4503 was passed in 1987.

### **Statutory Language**

VT. STAT. ANN. tit. 9, § 4503:

“(a) It shall be unlawful for any person:

- (1) To refuse to sell or rent, or refuse to negotiate for the sale or rental of, or otherwise make unavailable or deny, a dwelling or other real estate to any person because of the race, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, marital status, religious creed, color,

national origin, or handicap of a person, or because a person intends to occupy a dwelling with one or more minor children, or because a person is a recipient of public assistance.”

### **Enforcement:**

Vermont source of income discrimination may be enforced pursuant to VT. STAT. ANN. tit. 9, § 4506 by filing a claim with the Human Rights Commission established under sec. 4551, or a private right of action in state or federal court. The commission has jurisdiction to investigate and enforce complaints of unlawful discrimination, including that based upon receiving public assistance.

### **Attorney’s Fees**

Under VT. STAT. ANN. tit. 9, § 4506 (b), “the court may award costs and reasonable attorney's fees to an aggrieved person who prevails in an action . . .”

### **Organizations Helping Victims of Discrimination**

**Vermont Legal Aid, Inc.** is a non-profit organization that provides free civil legal services including for housing issues.

Phone: 800-889-2047

**The Vermont Human Rights Commission** is a Vermont State Agency that investigates and adjudicates charges of housing discrimination. It is a neutral body.

Phone: 800-416-2010

**Champlain Valley Office of Economic Opportunity (CVOEO)**’s Fair Housing Project does intake and referral of housing discrimination victims to the HRC and to VLA.

Phone: 802-862-2771

---

## **Wisconsin**

---

WIS. STAT. § 106.50 was amended in 1980 to include lawful source of income discrimination protection and authorizes the Department to promulgate such rules as are necessary to carry out this section. Lawful source of income includes: wages, a voucher having monetary value, social security, public assistance or other related payments. However, Wisconsin state law has held that lawful source of income does not include Section 8 federal rent assistance. See *Knapp v. Eagle Property Management Corp.*, 54 F.3d 1272 (Wis. 1995).

### **Date Enacted**

WIS. STAT. § 106.50 was amended in 1980 to include lawful source of income discrimination protection.

### **Relevant Case Law**

*Knapp v. Eagle Property Management Corp.*, 54 F.3d 1272 (Wis. 1995) (finding that Section 8 federal rent assistance vouchers were not “lawful source of income” within

meaning of Wisconsin Open Housing Act provision prohibiting landlords from discriminating in housing on basis of lawful source of income).

*Fernandez-Tome v. Joseph* (LIRC, 07/25/90) (finding a violation of the statute where Respondent stated he preferred to rent to "two working people" where complainant was known to accept social security).

### **Statutory Language**

WIS. STAT. § 106.50 - Open housing

“(1) Intent. It is the intent of this section to render unlawful discrimination in housing. It is the declared policy of this state that all persons shall have an equal opportunity for housing regardless of sex, race, color, sexual orientation, disability, religion, national origin, marital status, family status, lawful source of income, age or ancestry and it is the duty of the political subdivisions to assist in the orderly prevention or removal of all discrimination in housing through the powers granted under ss. 66.0125 and 66.1011. . . .”

“...1(m) Definition: (h) “Discriminate” means to segregate, separate, exclude or treat a person or class of persons unequally in a manner described in sub. (2), (2m) or (2r) because of sex, race, color, sexual orientation, disability, religion, national origin, marital status, family status, lawful source of income, age or ancestry.”

### **Enforcement**

Wisconsin’s source of income discrimination law may be enforced by filing a complaint with the Equal Rights Division, or by filing a private cause of action in court. Individuals can file complaints charging discrimination violations.

### **Attorney’s Fees**

WIS. STAT. §106.50(6) allows for reasonable attorney’s fees for the prevailing complainant.

### **Organizations Helping Victims of Discrimination**

#### **Fair Housing Council**

- Fair Housing Council can help one understand one’s rights under the law and outline a variety of ways to pursue a complaint.
- To determine if a Fair Housing Council serves your area of the state, contact the Metropolitan Milwaukee Fair Housing Council at (414) 278-1240.

# LOCAL LAWS AND ORDINANCES

## California\*

\*Please consult a California attorney for advice on the applicability of the following local ordinances. The case of *Apartment Ass'n, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles*, 136 Cal. App. 4th 119 (2006) held that a Los Angeles ordinance, LAMC 151.04, which prohibits landlord from raising the rent after opting out of the Section 8 voucher program, was preempted by state law. The precedent created by this decision may also affect the source of income discrimination protections in the local ordinances below.

---

### Corte Madera:

---

**Citation:** Anti-Discrimination Ordinance, Chapter 5.30

**Date passed:** 2000 (unverified)

**Operative Language:** “It is unlawful for the owner or manager of rental housing to discriminate against an existing tenant on the basis of that tenant's use of a Section 8 rent subsidy. It is a violation of this prohibition for a property owner or manager to refuse to accept a Section 8 rent subsidy for which an existing tenant qualifies, or to terminate the tenancy of an existing tenant based on the property owner's or manager's refusal to participate in a Section 8 rent subsidy program for which an existing tenant has qualified.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both (mediation or civil action for damages/injunctive relief)

---

### East Palo Alto:

---

**Citation:** East Palo Alto Municipal Code Chapter 14.16.010

**Date passed:** 11/06/2000

**Operative Language:** “For purposes of this subsection, ‘source of income’ means all lawful sources of income or rental assistance program, homeless assistance program, security deposit assistance program or housing subsidy program. Source of income includes any requirement of any such program or source of income or rental assistance.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Court

---

### San Francisco:

---

**Citation:** San Francisco Police Code Art. 33, §3304

**Date passed:** 07/31/1998

**Operative Language:** “For purposes of this Subsection (a), "source of income" means all lawful sources of income or rental assistance from any federal, State, local, or nonprofit-administered benefit or subsidy program. "Source of income" also means a rental assistance program, homeless assistance program, security deposit assistance program or housing subsidy program. "Source of income" includes any requirement of any such program or source of income, or rental assistance.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** Discretionary

## Illinois

---

### Chicago:

---

**Citation:** Chicago Fair Housing Ordinance §5-08-030

**Date passed:** 1990

**Operative Language:** “Source of income: means the lawful manner by which an individual supports himself or herself and his or her dependents.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** Discretionary

---

### Cook County:

---

**Citation:** Cook County Code of Ordinances Sec. 42-31, 42-38

**Date passed:** 5/8/2013 (and to become effective 90 days after)

**Operative Language:** “‘*Source of income*’ means the lawful manner by which an individual supports himself or herself and his or her dependents.”

\*\*\* After the new ordinance was passed on 5/8/2013, “source of income” now includes protections for Housing Choice Voucher Holders.

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative

**Attorneys fees:** Yes

---

## Harwood Heights:

---

**Citation:** Harwood Heights Municipal Code Title 19

**Date passed:** 8/13/2009

**Operative Language:** “It is declared to be the public policy of the Village of Harwood Heights ("village"), in the exercise of its power to regulate for the protection of the public health, safety, morals, and welfare, to assure fair housing and freedom from discrimination throughout the community, to protect the community from the effects of residential segregation based upon a person's race, color, sex, age, religion, disability, national origin, ancestry, sexual orientation, marital status, parental status, military discharge status, source of income, gender identity, or housing status, and to secure its citizens the economic, social, and professional benefits of living in a stable, integrated society.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative with right of judicial review

**Attorneys fees:** No

---

## Naperville:

---

**Citation:** Naperville Ordinance 00-92

**Date passed:** 6/6/2000

**Operative Language:** “Unlawful Discrimination: Discrimination against a person because of his or her race, color, religion, sex, national origin, ancestry, age, marital status, familial status, physical or mental handicap or disability, military status, sexual orientation, or legal source of income.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative

---

## Urbana:

---

**Citation:** Urbana City Code Ch. 12

**Date passed:** 11/17/1975

**Operative Language:** “Source of income. The point or form of the origination of legal gains of income accruing to a person in a stated period of time; from any occupation, profession or activity, from any contract, agreement or settlement, from federal, state or local payments, including Section 8 or any other rent subsidy or rent assistance program,

from court ordered payments or from payments received as gifts, bequests, annuities or life insurance policies.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative, pursuant to judicial review of commission decision.

---

## Wheeling:

---

**Citation:** Wheeling Human Rights Ordinance Ch. 6.14

**Date passed:** 1995

**Operative Language:** “‘Source of income’ means the lawful manner by which an individual supports himself or herself and his or her dependents.”

BUT: “Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this title, nothing contained in this chapter shall require any person who does not participate in the federal Section 8 Housing Assistance Program (42 U.S.C. 1437f) to accept any subsidy, payment assistance, voucher or contribution under or in connection with such program or to lease or rent to any tenant or prospective tenant who is relying on such a subsidy, payment assistance, contribution or voucher for payment of part of the rent for such housing accommodation.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative

## Maryland

---

### Frederick:

---

**Citation:** Frederick City Code, Appendix F

**Date passed:** 5/16/2002

**Operative Language:** “‘Source of Income’ means any lawful, verifiable source of money paid directly or indirectly to a renter or buyer of housing including:

- (1) Any lawful profession or occupation;
- (2) The condition of being a recipient of federal, state, or local government assistance, including medical assistance, subsidies, rental assistance, or rent supplements;
- (3) Any gift, inheritance, pension, annuity, alimony, child support, trust or investment accounts, or other consideration or benefit; and
- (4) Any sale or pledge of property or interest in property.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative

**Attorneys fees:** Yes

---

## Howard County:

---

**Citation:** Howard County Fair Housing Ordinance §§12.200-12.218.

**Date passed:** 1992

**Operative Language:** “Source of income means any lawful source of money that is paid to or for the benefit of a renter or buyer of housing, including:

- (1) A lawful profession or occupation;
- (2) A Federal, State or local government assistance, grant or loan program;
- (3) A private assistance, grant or loan program . . . .”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative, civil action only if authorized by the Human Rights Commission

**Attorneys fees:** Discretionary

---

## Montgomery County:

---

**Citation:** Montgomery County Code, Ch. 27

**Date passed:** 1991

**Operative Language:** “Any lawful source of income (grant, gift, inheritance, pension, annuity, alimony, child support, government or private assistance) or occupation must be considered in determining qualifications for rental or sale of property and these sources of income and occupation may be verified.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** Only upon finding of §27-8 violation.

## Massachusetts

Note that Massachusetts also has a statewide voucher discrimination law

---

## Boston:

---

**Citation:** City of Boston Municipal Code Ch. 10-3

**Date passed:** 1980/2002?

**Operative Language:** “It is the policy of the City of Boston to see that each individual, regardless of his/her race, color, religious creed, marital status, military status, handicap,

children, national origin, sex gender identity or expression, age, ancestry, sexual preference or source of income shall have equal access to housing and to encourage and bring about mutual understanding and respect among all individuals in the City by the elimination of prejudice, intolerance, bigotry and discrimination in the area of housing.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative

---

### Cambridge:

---

**Citation:** Cambridge Municipal Code § 14.04.030

**Date passed:** 1992

**Operative Language:** “‘Source of income’ means public assistance reciprocity. ‘Source of income’ shall not include income derived from criminal activity.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** No

---

### Quincy:

---

**Citation:** Quincy Municipal Code § 2.150.010

**Date passed:** 1992/93?

**Operative Language:** “‘Source of income’ means public assistance reciprocity. ‘Source of income’ shall not include income derived from criminal activity.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Court

---

### Revere:

---

**Citation:** Revere Municipal Code §9.28.080

**Date passed:** 1994

**Operative Language:** “‘Source of income’ means the manner or means by which an individual supports herself or himself and his or her dependents, except in this chapter it shall not include any criminal activity from which a source of income is derived.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Human Rights commission can “refer individuals with complaints to the appropriate state or federal agency of any violation [based on source of income].”

## Michigan

---

### Ann Arbor:

---

**Citation:** Ann Arbor City Code Ch. 112, § 9:150 et seq.

**Date passed:** 03/17/1978

**Operative Language:** “*Source of income.* Any legal source from which a person obtains money.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

---

### Hamburg:

---

**Citation:** Hamburg Local Law Ch. 109-3

**Date passed:** 3/14/2005

**Operative Language:** “It shall be unlawful: A: To refuse to sell or rent or refuse to negotiate for the sale or to deny any dwelling to any person because of race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, disability, national origin, source of income, sexual orientation or because the person has a child or children.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Court

**Attorneys fees:** Discretionary

---

### Grand Rapids:

---

**Citation:** Grand Rapids City Code Ch. 160, §9.361 et seq.

**Date passed:** 07/11/2000

**Operative Language:** “Source of *lawful income* means consistent income derived from wages, social security, supplemental security income, all forms of federal, state or local assistance payments or subsidies, Section 8 assistance, child support, alimony and public assistance which can be verified and substantiated.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative

## New York

---

### Buffalo:

---

**Citation:** Buffalo Code of Ordinances §154-12 et seq.

**Date passed:** 5/2/2006

**Operative Language:** “Source of Income: Payments from a lawful occupation or employment, as well as other payments including, but not limited to, public assistance, supplemental security income, pensions, annuities, unemployment benefits, government subsidies such as Section 8 or other housing subsidies.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** Yes

---

### Hamburg:

---

**Citation:** Hamburg General Code Ch. 109

**Date passed:** 3/14/2005

**Operative Language:** “Source of Income: Any income or source of rent payment from lawful sources.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** Yes

---

### Nassau County:

---

**Citation:** Nassau County Administrative Code §21-9.7

**Date passed:** Dec. 13, 2000

**Operative Language:** “‘Source of income’ means any lawful source of income, including federal, state, local, non-profit assistance or subsidy program.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** Yes

---

## New York City:

---

**Citation:** New York Administrative Code Tit. 8, Ch.1, §8-101

**Date passed:** 3/26/2008

**Operative Language:** “The term “lawful source of income” shall include income derived from social security, or any form of federal, state or local public assistance or housing assistance including section 8 vouchers.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

---

## West Seneca:

---

**Citation:** West Seneca Fair Housing Code Ch. 71

**Date passed:** 3/5/1979

**Operative Language:** “It shall be unlawful: A. To refuse to sell or rent or refuse to negotiate for the sale or deny a dwelling to any person because of race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, national origin, source of income or because the person has a child or children.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** Discretionary

---

## Westchester:

---

**Citation:** Local Law No, 6057-2013, Chapter 700, Article II, Sec

**Date passed:** 06/17/2013

**Operative Language:** “It shall be unlawful: A. To refuse to sell or rent or refuse to negotiate for the sale or deny a dwelling to any person because of race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, national origin, source of income or because the person has a child or children.”

“‘Source of income’ shall mean, as it relates to unlawful discriminatory real estate practices, lawful, verifiable income derived from social security, or any form of federal,

state or local public assistance or housing assistance, grant of loan program, including the federal housing subsidy known as 'Section 8,' any disability payment, and assistance, or grant or loan program from a private housing assistance organization.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** Discretionary

## Ohio

---

### City of Wickliffe, Ohio:

---

**Citation:** Chapter 1103, codified ordinances of the City of Wickliffe  
<http://www.conwaygreene.com/wickliffe/lpext.dll?f=templates&fn=main-h.htm&2.0>

**Date passed:** Ord. 2009-30. Passed 6-8-09

**Operative Language:** “Source of Income” is not defined in the ordinance, but all forms of housing discrimination based on source of income are prohibited.

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Court

**Attorneys fees:** None listed

## Pennsylvania

---

### Borough of State College:

---

**Citation:** Code of Ordinances of the Borough of State College Ch. V, §501 et seq.

**Date passed:** 3/9/1993

**Operative Language:** “‘Source of income’ means income received through any legal means including, but not limited to, wages, salaries, interest, dividends, child support, alimony, public assistance, pensions or other retirement benefits, social security or other documentation of ability to pay. Nothing herein shall be construed to mean a landlord must rent to someone who does not have the ability to pay.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative. If mediation fails, subsequent civil enforcement may be available.

**Attorneys fees:** Yes

---

## Philadelphia:

---

**Citation:** Philadelphia Code Ch. 9-1100 et seq.

**Date passed:** 1980

**Operative Language:** “*Source of Income*. Shall include any lawful source of income, and shall include, but not be limited to, earned income, child support, alimony, insurance and pension proceeds, and all forms of public assistance, including Aid For Dependent Children and housing assistance programs.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** Yes

---

## Washington

---

---

### Bellevue

---

**Citation:** Bellevue City Code 9.20.045

**Date passed:** 1/30/1990

**Operative Language:** “No person shall refuse to rent a dwelling unit to any rental applicant solely on the basis that the applicant proposes to rent such unit pursuant to a Section 8 voucher or certificate issued under the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 USC 1437(F)); provided this section shall only apply with respect to a Section 8 certificate if the monthly rent on such residential unit is within the limits of fair market rent as established by the Department of Housing and Urban Development.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** No

---

## King County

---

**Citation:** King County Code 12.20.040

**Date passed:** 3/2006

**Operative Language:** “‘Discriminate’ means any action or failure to act, whether by single act or as part of a practice, the effect of which is to adversely affect or differentiate between or among individuals or groups of individuals, because of race, color, religion, national origin, ancestry, age, gender, marital status, parental status, participation in the

Section 8 program, sexual orientation, disability, or use of a service or assistive animal by an individual with a disability.”

“Participation in the Section 8 program’ means participating in a federal, state or local government program in which a tenant's rent is paid partially by the government, through a direct contract between the government program and the owner or lessor of the real property, and partially by the tenant.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** Yes

---

## Redmond

---

**Citation:** City of Redmond Ordinance No. 2645, Ch. 6.38.010, 6.38.020

**Date passed:** 2/7/2012

**Operative Language:** “(A) No person shall refuse to rent a dwelling unit to any rental applicant solely on the basis that the applicant proposes to rent such a unit pursuant to a Section 8 voucher or certificate under the House and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 USC 1437(F)); provided this section shall only apply with respect to a Section 8 certificate if the monthly rent on such residential unit is within the allowable rent as established by the Department of Housing and Urban Development. ‘Dwelling unit’ shall have the meaning set forth in RZC 21.78.”

Exceptions for this ordinance included permanent residents who are renting, sub-renting, leasing, or subleasing a single-family dwelling (6.38.030(A)(1)) or religious organizations wishing to rent to the same religion (6.38.030(A)(3)).

---

## Seattle

---

**Citation:** Seattle Municipal Code 14.08.040

**Date passed:** 12/11/1989

**Operative Language:** “‘Discrimination’ means any conduct, whether by single act or as part of a practice, the effect of which is to adversely affect or differentiate between or among individuals or groups of individuals, because of race, color, creed, religion, ancestry, national origin, age, sex, marital status, parental status, sexual orientation, gender identity, political ideology, honorably discharged veteran or military status, participation in a Section 8 program, the presence of any disability or the use of a trained dog guide or service animal by a disabled person.”

“‘Section 8 program’ means a federal, state or local government program in which a tenant's rent is paid partially by the government program (through a direct contract between the government program and the owner or lessor of the real property), and partially by the tenant.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** Yes

## Wisconsin

Note that Wisconsin also has a statewide voucher discrimination law

---

### Cambridge

---

**Citation:** Village of Cambridge Wisconsin Code of Ordinances § 9.36.010

**Date passed:**

**Operative Language:** “It is declared to be the policy of the village to assure equal opportunity to all persons to live in adequate housing facilities regardless of race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, sex, handicap, sexual preference, marital status of persons maintaining a household, lawful source of income, place of birth, or age, and, to that end, to prohibit discrimination in housing by any persons.”

Note, however, that although it is ‘declared policy’ that source of income discrimination is impermissible, the subsequent sections of the code only specifically protect “race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, handicap, marital status, sexual preference, sex, age, or place of birth.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative

---

### Dane County

---

**Citation:** Dane County Code Ch. 31

**Date passed:** 8/6/1987

**Operative Language:** “*Discriminate* and *discrimination* mean to segregate, separate, exclude or treat any person or class of persons unequally because of race, gender, age, religion, color, national origin, ancestry, marital status of the person maintaining the household, family status, mental illness, physical condition, appearance, lawful source of income, including receipt of rental assistance under 24 Code of Federal Regulations Subtitle B, Chapter VIII [the “Section 8” housing program], student status, arrest or conviction record, sexual orientation, military discharge status or political beliefs.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

**Attorneys fees:** No

---

## Madison

---

**Citation:** Madison Code of Ordinances §39.03

**Date passed:** 10/29/1977

**Operative Language:** “Source of income includes, but shall not be limited to, moneys received from public assistance, pension, and Supplementary Security Income (SSI). Source of income shall be limited to legally derived income.”

“Housing. It shall be an unfair discrimination practice and unlawful and hereby prohibited for any person having the right of ownership or possession or the right of transfer, sale, rental or lease of any housing, or the agent of any such person: (a) To refuse to transfer, sell, rent or lease, to refuse to negotiate for the sale, lease, or rental or otherwise to make unavailable, deny or withhold from any person such housing because of sex, race, religion, color, national origin or ancestry, age, handicap/disability, marital status, source of income, including receipt of rental assistance under 24 Code of Federal Regulations Subtitle B, Chapter VIII [the "Section 8" housing program] . . .”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative

**Attorneys fees:** No

---

## Ripon

---

**Citation:** Ripon Municipal Code § 12.48

**Date passed:** 12/21/1988

**Operative Language:** “In connection with any of the transactions set forth in this section which affect any housing accommodation on the open market or in connection with any public sale, purchase, rental, financing or lease of any housing accommodation, it is unlawful within the city of Ripon for a person, owner, financial institution, real estate broker or real estate salesman, or any representative of the above, to: A. Refuse to sell, purchase, rent or lease, or deny to or withhold any housing accommodation from a person because of his or her race, color, religion, age, ancestry, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, disability, marital status, familial status, lawful source of income, or place of birth”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative

---

## Sun Prairie

---

**Citation:** Sun Prairie Code of Ordinances § 9.20.010

**Date passed:** 10/6/2007

**Operative Language:** “‘Protected class’ includes persons of a specific race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, sex, handicap, sexual preference, marital status of person maintaining a household, lawful source of income, place of birth, age or other federal or state designated protected classes for purposes of fair housing.”

“‘Discrimination’ or ‘discriminatory housing practice’ means any difference in treatment based upon race, color, religion, sex, or national origin; or any act that is unlawful under this chapter. Discrimination as defined in this chapter does not include, and specifically exempts defining any of the following as protected classes for purposes of fair housing discrimination unless required by state statute or federal law: . . .

5. Refusal of Section 8 housing tenants, if not otherwise income qualified, if the owner has either refused all Section 8 tenants or if they have previously set capacity controls on the number of Section 8 units allowed within the property.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative

**Attorneys fees:** ?

---

## Wauwatosa

---

**Citation:** Wauwatosa Municipal Code § 15.22

**Date passed:** 8/5/1986

**Operative Language:** “‘Discriminate’ and ‘discrimination’ mean to segregate, separate, exclude or treat any person unequally only because of sex, race, color, sexual orientation as defined in Section 111.32(13m) of the Wisconsin Statutes, handicap, religion, national origin, familial status, sex or marital status of the person maintaining a household, lawful source of income, age or ancestry.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Court

## Other Local Ordinances

---

## Wilmington, Delaware:

---

**Citation:** Wilmington City Code, Art. III, §35-76 et seq.

**Date passed:**

**Operative Language:** “Except as provided in section 35-80 it shall be an unlawful practice for any person because of race, age, marital status, creed, color, sex, sexual orientation, handicap, national origin, or economic or family status to: (1) Refuse to sell or rent to those who are welfare recipients, or who are dependent upon other fixed incomes, or to a parent with minor children, or to handicapped persons, if such refusal is based only upon the status of the applicant as stated above. . . .”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

---

## Miami- Dade County, Florida:

---

**Citation:** Florida, Code of Ordinances Sec.11A-11(12)

**Date passed:** August 3, 2009

**Operative Language:** “*Source of income* shall mean the lawful, verifiable income paid directly to a tenant or paid to a representative of a tenant, including, but not limited to, Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers, Supplemental Security Income, Social Security, pensions and other retirement benefits.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

---

## Iowa City, Iowa:

---

**Citation:** Iowa City Code, Tit. 2, Ch 5, §1 et seq.

**Date passed:** 05/28/1997

**Operative Language:** “It shall be an unlawful or discriminatory practice for any person to: A. Refuse to sell, rent, lease, assign, sublease, refuse to negotiate or to otherwise make unavailable, or deny any real property or housing accommodation or part, portion or interest therein, to any person because of the age, color, creed, disability, gender identity, marital status, familial status, national origin, race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, presence or absence of dependents or public assistance source of income of that person.”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Both

---

## Saint Louis, Missouri

---

**Citation:** St. Louis City Ordinance 67119

**Date passed:** 06/13/2006

**Operative Language:** “It shall be a prohibited housing or realty practice and shall constitute a discriminatory housing practice: (a) For any person, including, without limitation any real estate broker, salesman or agent, or any employee thereof, to discriminate against any individual because of race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, familial status, legal source of income, disability, national origin or ancestry, with respect to the use, enjoyment or transfer, or prospective use, enjoyment or transfer, of any interest whatsoever in realty . . .”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** Administrative and then judicial review

**Attorneys fees:** Yes

---

## Memphis, Tennessee:

---

**Citation:** City of Memphis Fair Housing Ordinance, Ord. 4932 §10-36-1

**Date passed:** 3/5/2002

**Operative language:** “‘Source of income’ means a regular, verifiable income, or its equivalent, from which an individual can pay rental, mortgage or other payments associated with the provision of housing. The term shall specifically include Section 8 vouchers or certificates issued by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development”

**Administrative or court enforcement:** both

**Attorneys fees:** yes

## PROPOSED STATE LEGISLATION

---

### HAWAII

---

**Citation:** Hawaii Senate Bill No. 805

**Status as of July 2013:** Committee on Commerce and Consumer Protection deferred the measure on 01/30/2013

**Operative Language:** ““Source of income” means any lawful source of money paid directly or indirectly to a renter or buyer of housing, including:

- (1) Any lawful profession or occupation;
- (2) Any government or private assistance, grant, loan, or rental assistance program, including low-income housing assistance certificates and vouchers under the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended;”

[http://capitol.hawaii.gov/measure\\_indiv.aspx?billtype=SB&billnumber=805](http://capitol.hawaii.gov/measure_indiv.aspx?billtype=SB&billnumber=805)

**Citation:** Hawaii House Bill No. 676

**Status as of July 2013:** Passed second reading and referred to the House Committee on Judiciary

**Operative Language:** ““Source of income” means any lawful source of money paid directly or indirectly to a renter or buyer of housing, including:

- (1) Any lawful profession or occupation;
- (2) Any government or private assistance, grant, loan, or rental assistance program, including low-income housing assistance certificates and vouchers under the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended;”

[http://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/measure\\_indiv.aspx?billtype=HB&billnumber=676](http://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/measure_indiv.aspx?billtype=HB&billnumber=676)

---

## MARYLAND

---

**Citation:** Maryland House Bill 168

**Status as of November 2012:** Introduced January 2012

**Operative Language:** ““Source of Income”” means any lawful source of money paid directly or indirectly to, or on behalf of, a renter or buyer of housing. Source of income includes income from:

- (1) A lawful profession, occupation, or job;
- (2) Any government or private assistance, grant, loan, or rental assistance program, including low-income housing assistance certificates and vouchers issued under the United States Housing Act of 1937.”

<http://mlis.state.md.us/2012rs/billfile/hb0168.htm>

**Citation:** Maryland Senate Bill No. 643

**Status as of July 2013:** Referred to Committee

**Operative Language:** “SOURCE OF INCOME” INCLUDES INCOME FROM:  
(I) A LAWFUL PROFESSION, OCCUPATION, OR JOB;  
(II) ANY GOVERNMENT OR PRIVATE ASSISTANCE, GRANT, LOAN, OR RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, INCLUDING LOW-INCOME HOUSING ASSISTANCE CERTIFICATES AND VOUCHERS ISSUED UNDER THE UNITED STATES HOUSING ACT OF 1937;

<http://mlis.state.md.us/2011rs/billfile/sb0643.htm>

---

## NEW YORK

---

**Citation:** New York Senate Bill No. 3702

**Status as of July 2013:** Referred To Investigations and Government Operations

**Operative Language:** “The term “source of income”, when used in this article, means any lawful source of income paid directly or indirectly to a renter or buyer of housing, including:

- (a) any lawful profession or occupation;
- (b) any government or private assistance, grant or loan program;”

<http://open.nysenate.gov/legislation/bill/S3702-2011>

**Citation:** New York Senate Bill No. 2885

**Status as of July 2013:** Referred To Investigations and Government Operations

**Operative Language:** The term “lawful source of income” shall include, but not be limited to, the federal housing subsidy known as “section eight”.

<http://open.nysenate.gov/legislation/bill/S2885-2011>

**Citation:** New York Assembly Bill No. 10163

**Status as of July 2013:** Amended on third reading

**Operative Language:** “The term “source of income” shall include: wages from lawful employment; child support; alimony; foster care subsidies; income derived from social security, or any form of federal, state or local public assistance; housing and rental subsidies and assistance, including section 8 vouchers; savings, investment and trust accounts; and any other forms of lawful income.”

[http://assembly.state.ny.us/leg/?default\\_fld=&bn=A10163&term=2011&Summary=Y&Actions=Y&Votes=Y&Memo=Y&Text=Y](http://assembly.state.ny.us/leg/?default_fld=&bn=A10163&term=2011&Summary=Y&Actions=Y&Votes=Y&Memo=Y&Text=Y)

**Citation:** New York Assembly Bill No. 3812

**Status as of November 2012:** Introduced January 2011

**Operative Language:** “he term “source of income”, when used in this article, means any lawful source of income paid directly or indirectly to a renter or buyer of housing, including:

- (a) any lawful profession or occupation;
- (b) any government or private assistance, grant or loan program;”

<http://assembly.state.ny.us/leg/?bn=A03812&term=2011>

**Citation:** New York Assembly Bill No. 1121

**Status as of July 2013:** Referred To Investigations and Government Operations

**Operative Language:** “The term “source of income” shall include: wages from lawful employment; child support; alimony; foster care subsidies; income derived from social security, or any form of federal, state or local public assistance; housing and rental subsidies and assistance, including section 8 vouchers; savings, investment and trust accounts; and any other forms of lawful income.”

[http://assembly.state.ny.us/leg/?default\\_fld=%0D%0A&bn=1121&term=2011&Summary=Y&Actions=Y&Votes=Y](http://assembly.state.ny.us/leg/?default_fld=%0D%0A&bn=1121&term=2011&Summary=Y&Actions=Y&Votes=Y)

## FEDERAL LAWS

### **HOME PROGRAM**

#### **42 USC 12745 (a)(1)(D):**

“(a)(1) Housing that is for rental shall qualify as affordable housing under this subchapter only if the housing –

(D) is not refused for leasing to a holder of a voucher or certificate of eligibility under section 1437f of this title because of the status of the prospective tenant as a holder of such voucher or certificate of eligibility”

#### **24 CFR 92.252(d):**

“(d) Nondiscrimination against rental assistance subsidy holders. The owner cannot refuse to lease HOME-assisted units to a certificate or voucher holder under 24 CFR part 982 — Section 8 Tenant-Based Assistance: Unified Rule for Tenant-Based Assistance under the Section 8 Rental Certificate Program and the Section 8 Rental Voucher Program or to the holder of a comparable document evidencing participation in a HOME tenant-based rental assistance program because of the status of the prospective tenant as a holder of such certificate, voucher, or comparable HOME tenant-based assistance document

### **Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program**

#### **26 U.S.C. § 42(h)(6)(B)(iv):**

“(6) Buildings eligible for credit only if minimum long-term commitment to low-income housing...

(B) Extended low-income housing commitment. For purposes of this paragraph, the term ‘extended low-income housing commitment’ means any agreement between the taxpayer and the housing credit agency...

(iv) which prohibits the refusal to lease to a holder of a voucher or certificate of eligibility under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 because of the status of the prospective tenant as such a holder...”

#### **26 C.F.R. § 1.42-5(c)(1)(xi): Regulations Relating to IRS**

“(c) Certification and review provisions—

(1) Certification. Under the certification provision, the owner of a low-income housing project must be required to certify at least annually to the Agency that, for the preceding 12- month period—

(xi) An extended low-income housing commitment as described in section 42(h)(6) was in effect (for buildings subject to section 7108(c)(1) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1989, 103 Stat. 2106, 2308-2311), including the requirement under section 42(h)(6)(B)(iv) that an owner cannot refuse to lease a unit in the project to an applicant because the applicant holds a voucher or certificate of eligibility under section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, 42 U.S.C. 1437f (for buildings subject to section 13142(b)(4) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, 107 Stat. 312, 438-439)”

### **Mark-to-Market**

**United State Housing Act of 1937, 42 U.S.C. § 1437f**

#### **24 C.F.R § 401.556: Regulations Relating to HUD**

“A Restructuring Plan must prohibit any refusal of the owner to lease a unit solely because of the status of the prospective tenant as a section 8 voucher holder.”

### **Multifamily Properties Purchased from HUD**

**National Housing Act, 12 U.S.C § 1701z-12**

“The Secretary shall require any purchaser of a multifamily housing project owned by the Secretary which is sold on or after October 1, 1978, to agree not to refuse unreasonably to lease a vacant dwelling unit in the project which rents for an amount not greater than the fair market rent for a comparable unit in the area as determined by the Secretary under section 1437f of Title 42 to a holder of a certificate of eligibility under that section solely because of such prospective tenant's status as a certificate holder.”

#### **24 C.F.R § 290.19: Regulations Relating to Multifamily properties purchased from HUD**

“The purchaser of any multifamily housing project shall not refuse unreasonably to lease a dwelling unit offered for rent, offer to sell cooperative stock, or otherwise discriminate in the terms of tenancy or cooperative purchase and sale because any tenant or purchaser is the holder of a Certificate of Family Participation or a Voucher under Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f), or any successor legislation. This provision is limited in its application, for tenants or applicants with Section 8 Certificates or their equivalent (other than Vouchers), to those units which rent for an amount not greater than the Section 8 Fair Market Rent, as determined by HUD. The purchaser's

agreement to this condition must be contained in any contract of sale and also may be contained in any regulatory agreement, use agreement, or deed entered into in connection with the disposition.”

#### **24 C.F. R § 290.39: Regulations Relating to Multifamily properties purchased from HUD**

“(a) Nondiscrimination requirement. For any mortgage described in paragraphs (c) or (d) of this section that HUD sells without FHA mortgage insurance, the project owner shall not unreasonably refuse to lease a dwelling unit offered for rent, offer to sell cooperative stock, or otherwise discriminate in the terms of tenancy or cooperative purchase and sale because any tenant or purchaser is a certificate or voucher holder under 24 CFR part 982...

(c) Applicability to mortgages securing unsubsidized projects receiving project-based assistance (partially-assisted projects) or securing subsidized projects.

(1) The nondiscrimination requirement in paragraph (a) of this section applies to the project owner upon the sale of a mortgage without FHA mortgage insurance if, at the time HUD offers it for sale, the mortgage secures:

(i) An unsubsidized project that receives any of the forms of assistance enumerated in paragraphs (4)(i) to (4)(iv) of the "subsidized project" definition in § 290.5; or

(ii) A subsidized project, as defined in § 290.3.

(2) This requirement shall continue in effect until the mortgage debt is satisfied.

(d) Covenant requirement for all delinquent mortgages sold without FHA mortgage insurance. This paragraph (d) applies to the sale of any mortgage that is delinquent at the time HUD offers it for sale without FHA mortgage insurance, without regard to the subsidy status of the project. The mortgage purchaser and its successors and assigns shall require the mortgagor to record a covenant running with the land as part of any loan restructuring or final compromise of the mortgage debt and shall include a covenant in any foreclosure deed executed in connection with the mortgage. The covenant shall set forth the nondiscrimination requirement in paragraph (a) of this section. The covenant shall continue in effect until a date that is the same as the maturity date of the mortgage sold by HUD.”

#### **HUD Regulations and Notices**

See also HUD Notice PIH 2002-15 (HA) (June 7, 2002) Reinstatement - Notice PIH 2001-2 (HAs), Prohibition of Discrimination against Families with Housing Choice Vouchers by Owners of Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and HOME Developments (This Notice reinstates Notice PIH 2001-2 (HA), same subject, indefinitely. Notice PIH 2001-2 (HA) expired January 31, 2002)

## **Neighborhood Stabilization Act of 2008 – engrossed and referred to Senate committee**

In response to the federal loan and foreclosure crisis in the United States, the House of Representatives passed legislation which provided a loan and grant program for the distribution of money to areas severely impacted by foreclosures and predatory lending. Participants in this program cannot discriminate against holders of Section 8 vouchers. Section 8(h) of H.R. 5818.

## **ADDITIONAL RESOURCES**

### **Annotated Bibliography: Law Reviews and Studies**

#### **Law Review Articles on Source-of-Income Discrimination**

Paula Beck, *Fighting Section 8 Discrimination: The Fair Housing Act's New Frontier*, 31 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 155 (1996).

Author discusses the failures of the section 8 program to promote integration, reviews the effectiveness of current state and federal laws to protect against source-of-income discrimination, and suggests that an amendment to the Fair Housing Act is needed. By prohibiting discrimination on the basis of source-of-income, the social and economic burdens of section 8 vouchers will be shifted from low income renters to the landlords and middle-income renters who are in a better position to absorb them.

Kim Johnson-Spratt, Note, *Housing Discrimination and Source of Income: A Tenant's Losing Battle*, 32 Ind. L. Rev. 457 (1999).

Author presents an overview of source-of-income litigation and discusses remedies for the lack of protection given to source-of-income under federal law. Previous discrimination cases have met with success by molding source-of-income discrimination into discrimination of a protected category such as familial status or gender. In jurisdictions where source-of-income protection does exist, results have been mixed and suggest that existing protections are inadequate. Without a federal law banning source-of-income discrimination, section 8 voucher holders lack a meaningful choice in obtaining housing. The author examines Paula Beck's proposal to amend the Fair Housing Act and rejects the proposed amendment as incomplete and unlikely. The author further suggests that given legislative intent and the purpose of the section 8 statute and Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, protection against source-of-income discrimination may be implied in both laws. Given the public's fears of judicial activism, however, the best approach may be for HUD to promulgate a rule prohibiting discrimination on the basis of income source.

Laura Bacon, Note, *Godinez v. Sullivan-Lackey: Creating a Meaningful Choice for Housing Choice Voucher Holders*, 55 DePaul L. Rev. 1273 (2006).

Author offers Chicago and its local law barring source-of-income discrimination as a potential model for creating a realistic solution to discrimination against section 8 voucher recipients. Focusing on the HCVP in Chicago, the author discusses the history of the program and its limited effectiveness in the face of source-of-income discrimination. The city's ordinance, subject to challenge in *Godinez v. Sullivan-Lackey*, was upheld by the Illinois Appellate Court. The case may serve as an example to other cities and municipalities of the legal viability of local fair housing ordinances. Although there are shortcomings to the local legislative approach, if states and cities add source-of-income protection to their legislative agendas, the goals of HCVP can be more likely realized.

Tamica H. Daniel, Note, *Bringing Real Choice to the Housing Choice Voucher Program: Addressing Voucher Discrimination under the Federal Fair Housing Act*, 98 Geo. L.J. 769 (2010).

Author provides an overview of the status of voucher discrimination under federal law and argues for an amendment to the Fair Housing Act which would add voucher holders as a protected class. The author analogizes to a similar legal climate as existed prior to the addition of familial status as a protected class under the Fair Housing Act in the 1980s. The author argues that current State, county and city statutes and ordinances which protect against source-of-income discrimination do not go far enough to significantly reduce the occurrences of voucher holder discrimination. The author argues that in lieu of a Congressional amendment to the Fair Housing Act, the courts could allow voucher holders to bring disparate impact claims for voucher discrimination, and thereby support the goals and purposes of the federal legislation.

## **Related Law Review Articles**

Mark A. Malaspina, Note, *Demanding the Best: How to Restructure the Section 8 Housing-Based Rental Assistance Program*, 14 Yale L. & Pol'y Rev. 287 (1996).

Author reviews the flaws in the section 8 program, noting that many of the program's problems result from inappropriate use of supply-side housing policies in a demand-side program (including federal eviction standards, housing quality requirements, and fixed payment structure which may fail to motivate voucher-holders). Author further suggests reforms to (1) improve the administration of the program by replacing local public housing authorities with regional government agencies, (2) increase mobility through the implementation of counseling services and extended deadlines for finding an acceptable apartment, and (3) introduce a new payment structure. Landlord acceptance of section 8 vouchers could be further increased by a federal nondiscrimination provision, barring source-of-income discrimination.

Paul Boudreaux, *Vouchers, Buses, and Flats: The Persistence of Social Segregation*, 49 Vill. L. Rev. 55 (2004).

Author presents an analysis of the failure of the Housing Choice Voucher Program in the face of the social trend towards racial and socio-economic segregation, the scarcity of affordable housing in many cities, and the difficulties of finding a landlord who will accept the voucher. Despite its goals, the rental subsidy program fails to protect its recipients from the discrimination that promotes segregation. Unless landlords are prevented from discrimination on the basis of source-of-income, real integration will not be possible.

Kristine L. Zeabart, Note, *Requiring a True Choice in Housing Choice Voucher Programs*, 79 Ind. L.J. 767 (2004).

Author offers an overview of the *Gautreaux* litigation and suggests that its remedies should be adopted by HUD, ensuring metropolitan-wide mobility. Author also argues that the HCVP is inadequate to meet its goals of increasing disbursement of public housing residents throughout an area and decreasing segregation. In looking at segregation, focus is given to the individual, community, and programmatic barriers that limit true housing choice. Through increased counseling, heightened enforcement against hate crimes, mobility grants, and equity insurance, the government may better promote low-income renters' moves to integrated areas. At the same time, the continued need for public housing stock suggests the possibility of redevelopment of demolished buildings as mixed communities.

Kinara Flagg, *Mending the Safety Net Through Source of Income Protections: The Nexus Between Antidiscrimination and Social Welfare Law*, 20 Colum. J. Gender & L. 201 (2011).

Margery Austin Turner et al., *Housing Discrimination against Racial and Ethnic Minorities 2012* (2013) available at [http://www.huduser.org/portal/Publications/pdf/HUD-514\\_HDS2012.pdf](http://www.huduser.org/portal/Publications/pdf/HUD-514_HDS2012.pdf)

This summary report presents findings from HUD's fourth such study of discrimination by private real estate agents and rental properties, which applied paired-testing methodology in 28 metropolitan areas to measure the incidence and forms of discrimination experienced by black, Hispanic, and Asian renters and homebuyers. They found that while the most blatant forms of housing discrimination have declined, their data demonstrates that well-qualified minority homeseekers are just as likely as equally qualified white homeseekers to get an appointment and learn about at least one available housing unit, but minority homeseekers are told about and shown fewer homes and apartments than whites.

## **Recent Studies of Source-of-Income Discrimination**

Susan J. Popkin & Mary K. Cunningham, The Urban Institute, *CHAC Section 8 Program: Barriers to Successful Leasing Up* (1999).

This Urban Institute study examines the reasons behind voucher holders' unsuccessful searches for housing in Chicago. The authors describe four types of discrimination: (1) racial discrimination; (2) discrimination against families with children; (3) discrimination against Section 8 tenants; and (4) discrimination against former public housing high rise residents now attempting to use Section 8 vouchers. Although the study found that many factors may contribute to families' difficulty in locating housing, discrimination against voucher holders particularly demonstrates the need for mobility services to facilitate the transition to less segregated housing.

Susan J. Popkin & Mary K. Cunningham, The Urban Institute, *Searching for Rental Housing with Section 8 in Chicago Region* (2000).

This Urban Institute report examines the challenges facing a growing population of Section 8 voucher holders in Chicago and seeks to build on their 1999 study of unsuccessful voucher holders. The authors find that Chicago voucher holders have special needs as a group that will require a more intensive approach to housing counseling. Additionally, they find that few differences exist between those voucher holders who are successful at finding housing and those holders who are unsuccessful. Discrimination, financial barriers, and participants' personal problems create barriers to finding housing through Section 8 in Chicago. Housing authorities must strategize as to how these difficulties can be managed.

Lawyers Committee for Better Housing, Inc., *Locked Out: Barriers to Choice for Housing Voucher Holders, Report on Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Discrimination* (2002).

This study by Lawyers Committee for Better Housing seeks to substantiate the accounts of discrimination encountered by Section 8 voucher holders in the Chicago area. LCBH worked with two fair housing centers to conduct phone and in-person testing. The study finds that (1) voucher holders are routinely discriminated against, (2) evidence exists indicating increased discrimination against vouchers seeking to rent in an area designated by the Chicago Housing Authority as an "exception rent area," and (3) evidence shows that vouchers face increased discrimination due to race and ethnicity. As a result, LCBH recommends: mandatory landlord education, education for voucher holders regarding their rights and remedies, increased enforcement of Chicago's Fair Housing Ordinance, increased landlord testing for noncompliance with fair housing laws, greater inclusion source-of-income protection of county and state laws, and implementation of a media campaign to debunk myths of renting to voucher holders.

New York ACORN, *Housing for Everyone: New York City, Section 8, and Source of Income Discrimination* (2007).

ACORN conducted three series of tests to document discrimination experienced by Section 8 voucher holders seeking to rent in New York City. Results indicated

that only a limited number (less than 21%) of property management companies offered apartments within voucher rent limits. Of these, less than half would accept a Section 8 voucher. In addition, only 13% of those apartments identified by common rental listing sources would accept vouchers. ACORN also found that over 40% of the units listed in the New York City Housing Authority's own rental materials were unavailable. To remedy this, ACORN recommends that New York City adopt source-of-income/Section 8 protection similar to that in place in a number of other states and cities.

Fred Freiberg and Diane L. Houk, Fair Housing Justice Center, *No License to Discriminate: Real Estate Advertising, Source of Income Discrimination, and Homelessness in New York City* (2008).

The Fair Housing Justice Center examines whether real estate brokers in New York City comply with the March 2008 addition of a prohibition on source-of-income discrimination to local fair housing law. The report focuses on the listings placed by brokers on the [www.craigslist.org](http://www.craigslist.org) website during the month of July 2008. During that period, at least 363 postings used discriminatory language that made unavailable housing units based on receipt of Section 8 vouchers or of other "program" assistance. Seventy-six percent of those advertised units were priced at rates affordable to low- and moderate-income renters, and many were within the fair market rent allowed for voucher-holders. In response, the FHJC makes a number of recommendations aimed at strengthening and expanding fair housing enforcement activity: (1) City government should support systemic testing investigations; (2) fair housing organizations should increase efforts to notify state authorities when there is evidence of discrimination; and (3) fair housing enforcement should emphasize remedies that end discrimination, provide redress, and promote future compliance with fair housing laws. Additionally, the FHJC recommends that appropriate standards be created to evaluate the fair housing training received by brokers and that such training be required of all brokers. Finally, the FHJC also recommends that source-of-income protection be included in New York State fair housing law.

Lance Freeman and Yunjing Li. "Do Source of Income (SOI) Anti-Discrimination Laws Facilitate Access to Better Neighborhoods?" Paper presented at the Association of Public Policy and Management Fall Research, Baltimore, MD, November 8, 2012.

In recent decades vouchers have come to be seen as a tool for promoting economic and racial/ethnic integration. The advantages of vouchers over project-based housing assistance depend on the ability of voucher recipients to locate a landlord who will accept the voucher. Some landlords wish to avoid the administrative burden associated with the voucher program. Other landlords perceive voucher recipients to be undesirable tenants and/or fear their other tenants would object to voucher recipients as neighbors. This type of discrimination based on SOI could hinder the use of vouchers to move to more desirable neighborhoods. State and local SOI anti-discrimination laws are one policy response to address this issue. SOI laws make it illegal for landlords to discriminate against voucher recipients solely on the basis of their having a voucher. The research presented here tested whether SOI laws improve locational

outcomes for voucher recipients. This research found that SOI laws appear to have a modest impact on locational outcomes.

Martha Galvez. “Defining Choice in the Housing Choice Voucher Program: The Role of Market Constraints and Household Preferences in Location Outcomes” PhD diss., Robert F. Wagner School of Public Service, New York University, 2011.

This dissertation examines neighborhood concentration and quality outcomes for Housing Choice Voucher holders in 315 metropolitan areas (MSAs) in 2004, coupled with an in-depth analysis of move preferences and outcomes for a sample of new voucher holders in Seattle, Washington, in 2009. Results show that voucher holders lived in nearly all MSA neighborhoods and few experienced extremely high neighborhood poverty rates. However, assisted households were unevenly distributed in a manner similar to black residential segregation. On average, neighborhood quality for voucher holders was no better than that of similarly poor households or Low Income Housing Tax Credit unit locations. Results are consistent for the Seattle sample of households, who tended to shift from one low opportunity neighborhood to another. Results are more promising for black households specifically: on average nationally, black voucher holders lived in lower poverty, less distressed neighborhoods compared to similarly poor blacks in the same MSAs.

Regression analyses suggest voucher holders are more concentrated and live in higher poverty rate neighborhoods in MSAs that are more racially segregated, and where a larger share of voucher holders is minority. Average neighborhood poverty rates for voucher holders were slightly lower in areas with source of income anti-discrimination laws in place.

Survey results for the Seattle sample suggest location outcomes mirrored pre-program mobility preferences. Respondents who wanted to change neighborhoods tended to do so, and respondents who wanted to lease in place likely did so. Respondents who were dissatisfied with pre-program neighborhood quality were more likely to change neighborhoods and to experience improvements in quality. In contrast, place attachments and market perceptions were only weakly correlated with move preferences or outcomes. Importantly, dissatisfaction with pre-program neighborhood quality was the exception and not the norm. The majority of the sample was satisfied with their pre-program neighborhoods, despite living in areas that offered limited access to economic mobility opportunities.

## **Other Resources**

The web site of the National Housing Law Project (<http://www.nhlp.org>). Click on “Section 8” and “Source of Income Discrimination Protections for Section 8 Tenant-Based Assistance in California,” which contains both California and national information regarding source of income.