

	STATE: WYOMING
Mandatory restrictions prohibiting increases in racial and economic concentration	• No.
Scoring that discourages racial and economic concentration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15 fewer points are awarded as concentration of low-income/affordable housing units increase in the vicinity (QAP 2008, p. 15). • 15 points awarded if projects do not contribute to a concentration of low-income housing (QAP 2008, p. 21).
Mandatory requirements encouraging sustainable development in high-opportunity areas	• No.
Scoring that discourages development in distressed neighborhoods	• Up to 200 points deducted for projects located near inappropriate locations, such as: flood areas, noise areas, and hazardous site areas (QAP 2008, p. 21); cited in 2006 Best Practices report ("Report"): provision modified.
Scoring that encourages development in high opportunity areas	• Up to 10 points awarded to projects located within a proximity of 1½ miles (½ mile for elderly) of appropriate services needed by the residents (QAP 2008, p. 20).
Local participation in site selection limited to statutory minimum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires applicants to show that the CEO of the local jurisdiction has been notified; resolutions of support from local governing bodies are welcome but not required (QAP 2008, p. 6). • 20 points awarded for the reduction of community barriers (ex. reducing or waiving fees or real estate tax concessions) (QAP 2008, p. 21-22); cited in Report: no change. • Up to 65 points awarded to projects that receive support or contributions from local sources (QAP 2008, p. 24); cited in Report:
Mandatory requirements ensuring affirmative marketing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires applicants to submit an Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan (QAP 2008, p. 5). • Requires an Affirmative Marketing Plan in which applicants describe how they will market their project to minorities or hard-to-reach very low-income households (Current Year Summary, p. 74); cited in Report: no change.
Scoring that incentivizes affirmative marketing	• Requires applicants to describe how they will market their special needs housing projects to eligible participants (Current Year Summary, p. 72); cited in Report: no change.
Scoring that incentivizes language access and marketing to non-English speaking applicants	• No.
Scoring that promotes Section 8 voucher access in high-opportunity areas	• 2 points awarded to projects that give preference to individuals on the public housing waiting lists (QAP 2008, p. 13); cited in Report: no change.
Requirements for monitoring Section 8 voucher access	• No.
Scoring that promotes units designed for persons with disabilities	• 4 points awarded to projects that restrict occupancy of all units to a special needs population, including: people who are mentally ill, physically disabled, and developmentally disabled (QAP 2008, p. 24).
Scoring that promotes marketing to disabled persons	• No.
Scoring that promotes family moves to low-poverty neighborhoods	• 4 points awarded to projects that provide units for families or individuals with children (QAP 2008, p. 13).
Scoring that promotes units for lowest-income households outside high-poverty neighborhoods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 points awarded to projects that provided homes to people with income levels between 41-50% of HUD median income (QAP 2008, p. 17). • 10 points awarded to projects that provided homes to people with income levels at or below 40% of HUD median income (QAP 2008, p. 17).
Racial/demographic reporting requirements	• Requires owners of low-income housing projects to keep records for each building, including: number of occupants, annual income certification, the Ethnicity of Head of Household, and the type of household (i.e. elderly, single parent, two parent, etc.) (QAP 2008, p. 41-42); cited in Report: no change.

Other:

- **Scoring that promotes units for the homeless:** 2 points awarded if at least 4% of the units are reserved for transitioning homeless households (QAP 2008, p. 18).
- **Scoring that promotes eventual tenant ownership:** up to 35 points awarded to projects that allow eventual tenant ownership of rental properties at the end of the compliance period (QAP 2008, p. 20).