

	STATE: MISSOURI
Mandatory restrictions prohibiting increases in racial and economic concentration	• No.
Scoring that discourages racial and economic concentration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Under the selection criteria, projects are assessed according to the socioeconomic mix of households in the neighborhood (QAP 2008, p. 8). • Under the selection criteria, projects located in Difficult to Develop Areas are “noted” (QAP 2008, p. 8).
Mandatory requirements encouraging sustainable development in high-opportunity areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires that no part of any residential structure be located within 30 feet of a high-pressure gas and/or liquid petroleum transportation pipeline easement (QAP 2008, p. 9). • Requires that no part of any residential structure be located within 100 feet of any high voltage transmission lines (QAP 2008, p. 9).
Scoring that discourages development in distressed neighborhoods	• Under the selection criteria, projects are evaluated based on the suitability of the site regarding slope, noise, flood plain, or wetland issues (QAP 2008, p. 8).
Scoring that encourages development in high opportunity areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Under the selection criteria, projects are “noted” if located in a community with new employment opportunities (QAP 2008, p. 8). • Under the selection criteria, sites are evaluated based on proximity to services, including: public transportation, shopping centers, schools, medical services, and parks (QAP 2008, p. 8).
Local participation in site selection limited to statutory minimum	• Local approval limited to statutory minimum of notice and reasonable opportunity for comment (QAP 2008, p. 6); cited in 2006 Best Practices report (“Report”): provision modified.
Mandatory requirements ensuring affirmative marketing	• No, provision cited in Report has been deleted.
Scoring that incentivizes affirmative marketing	• No.
Scoring that incentivizes language access and marketing to non-English speaking applicants	• No.
Scoring that promotes Section 8 voucher access in high-opportunity areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Under the selection criteria, projects that provide units for people on waiting lists for subsidized housing will be given extra consideration (QAP 2008, p. 9). • Under the selection criteria, projects that provide units for people with Section 8 vouchers will be given extra consideration (QAP 2008, p. 9); cited in Report: no change.
Requirements for monitoring Section 8 voucher access	• No.
Scoring that promotes units designed for persons with disabilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Under the selection criteria, projects are evaluated to identify the number of units accessible to people with physical disabilities (QAP 2008, p. 8). • Under the selection criteria, projects that provide units for people with physical or developmental disabilities will be given extra consideration (QAP 2008, p. 8). • Requires all projects with 12 or more units to have at least 5% of the units accessible to wheelchair users (QAP 2008, p. 9).
Scoring that promotes marketing to disabled persons	• No.
Scoring that promotes family moves to low-poverty neighborhoods	• Under the selection criteria, projects that provide units for single parent families (especially with two or more children) will be given extra consideration (QAP 2008, p. 9).
Scoring that promotes units for lowest-income households outside high-poverty neighborhoods	• Under the selection criteria, projects that provide units for very low income households (i.e., below 50% of area median income) will be given extra consideration (QAP 2008, p. 8).
Racial/demographic reporting requirements	• No.
Other:	• Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: under the selection criteria, projects that provide units for the homeless will be