

	STATE: MICHIGAN
	Note: The scoring system is set forth in the 2008 Scoring Summary ("Scoring Summary"). Scoring Summary, <i>available at</i> http://www.michigan.gov/mshda/0,1607,7-141-5456-31750--,00.html (follow "2008 Scoring Summary" hyperlink)
Mandatory restrictions prohibiting increases in racial and economic concentration	• No.
Scoring that discourages racial and economic concentration	• 1 point awarded to projects that are located in a Difficult to Develop Area (Scoring Summary, p. 2).
Mandatory requirements encouraging sustainable development in high-opportunity areas	• No, provision cited in 2006 Best Practices report ("Report") has been deleted.
Scoring that discourages development in distressed neighborhoods	• No.
Scoring that encourages development in high opportunity areas	• No.
Local participation in site selection limited to statutory minimum	• Local participation limited to statutory minimum of notice and comment (QAP 2008-2009 draft, p. 24); cited in Report: no change. • Up to 15 points awarded to projects that receive local support in the form of tax abatements (Scoring Summary, p. 4).
Mandatory requirements ensuring affirmative marketing	• Requires developers to submit an Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan (QAP 2008-2009 draft, p. 16).
Scoring that incentivizes affirmative marketing	• No.
Scoring that incentivizes language access and marketing to non-English speaking applicants	• No.
Scoring that promotes Section 8 voucher access in high-opportunity areas	• Requires developers to give priority to people whose names are on public housing waiting lists (QAP 2008-2009 draft, p. 18).
Requirements for monitoring Section 8 voucher access	• No.
Scoring that promotes units designed for persons with disabilities	• No.
Scoring that promotes marketing to disabled persons	• No.
Scoring that promotes family moves to low-poverty neighborhoods	• 10 points awarded to family projects that reserve at least 10% of the two or more bedroom units for households with children (Scoring Summary, p. 6).
Scoring that promotes units for lowest-income households outside high-poverty neighborhoods	• Requires 10% of the units in a preservation project to have income and rents set at 40% of median income (QAP 2008-2009 draft, p. 19). • Requires 10% of the units in a preservation project to have income and rents set at 30% of median income (QAP 2008-2009 draft, p. 19). • Up to 50 points awarded for low-income targeting (2008 Scoring Summary, p. 7).
Racial/demographic reporting requirements	• Requires owners to keep records for each building, including: number of occupants and income certification of each low-income tenant (QAP 2008-2009 draft, p. 29-30).
Other:	• Scoring that promotes eventual tenant ownership: 8 points awarded to projects that will offer homeownership opportunities to tenants after the 15-year compliance period (Scoring Summary, p. 9).