

	STATE: MAINE
Mandatory restrictions prohibiting increases in racial and economic concentration	• No.
Scoring that discourages racial and economic concentration	• 3 points awarded to projects that rehabilitate existing multi-family rental properties containing 5 or more units and provide protection against displacement (QAP 2008-2009, p. 14); cited in 2006 Best Practices report ("Report"): no change.
Mandatory requirements encouraging sustainable development in high-opportunity areas	• No.
Scoring that discourages development in distressed neighborhoods	• No.
Scoring that encourages development in high opportunity areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 point awarded for recreational activities that are provided on-site or public access is within ½ mile of the site (QAP 2008-2009, p. 14). • 4 points awarded to projects that are within 2,500 feet of at least one destination important to the course of daily activities, such as a convenience store or a public school (QAP 2008-2009, p. 22). • 2 points awarded to projects that are within 2,500 feet of year-round employment opportunities (QAP 2008-2009, p. 22). • 1 point awarded to projects that are within 2,500-5,000 feet of year-round employment opportunities (QAP 2008-2009, p. 22-23). • 1 point awarded to projects that are within 1,500 feet of a regularly scheduled means of public transportation (QAP 2008-2009, p. 23).
Local participation in site selection limited to statutory minimum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local participation limited to statutory minimum of notice and reasonable opportunity for comment (QAP 2008-2009, p. 7); cited in Report: no change. • 3 points awarded for the reduction of community barriers through some form of property tax relief (QAP 2008-2009, p. 17).
Mandatory requirements ensuring affirmative marketing	• No.
Scoring that incentivizes affirmative marketing	• No.
Scoring that incentivizes language access and marketing to non-English speaking applicants	• No.
Scoring that promotes Section 8 voucher access in high-opportunity areas	• Requires applicants to give preference to people who are on public housing or Section 8 waiting lists (QAP 2008-2009, p. 14).
Requirements for monitoring Section 8 voucher access	• Requires owners to certify that they have not refused tenancy to people solely because of their status as Section 8 voucher holders (QAP 2008-2009, p. 40).
Scoring that promotes units designed for persons with disabilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 points awarded to projects that give preference in at least 20% of the units to people with mental or developmental disabilities and offers services appropriate to the needs of such people (QAP 2008-2009, p. 15). • Provision cited in Report has been deleted.
Scoring that promotes marketing to disabled persons	• No.
Scoring that promotes family moves to low-poverty neighborhoods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6 points awarded to projects that have at least 20% of the units as 3 or more bedrooms and an additional 30% of the units as 2 or more bedrooms (QAP 2008-2009, p. 15). • 4 points awarded if a project for families is constructed to provide a higher level of accessibility (QAP 2008-2009, p. 15). • 4 points awarded to projects that are within 2,500 feet of at least one destination important to the course of daily activities, such as a public school (QAP 2008-2009, p. 22). • 1 point awarded to projects that are within 1,500 feet of a public school or library (QAP 2008-2009, p. 23).

Scoring that promotes units for lowest-income households outside high-poverty neighborhoods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires developers to keep at least 60% of the total units occupied by people with very low income (QAP 2008-2009, p. 9).
Racial/demographic reporting requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires owners to keep records for each low-income building, including: number of occupants in each unit, annual income certification of each low-income tenant, and student status of the resident household (QAP 2008-2009, p. 37-38). • Requires owners to certify that there have been no findings of discrimination under the Fair Housing Act (QAP 2008-2009, p. 39).
Other:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: 2 points awarded to projects that give preference in at least 20% of the units to people who are homeless and offers services appropriate to the needs of such people (QAP 2008-2009, p. 15). • Scoring that promotes eventual tenant ownership: 1 point awarded to projects that provide for low-income tenant ownership (QAP 2008, p. 15).