

	<b>STATE: IOWA</b>
<b>Mandatory restrictions prohibiting increases in racial and economic concentration</b>	• Requires developers to have a relocation plan if the project will displace tenants (QAP 2008, p. 18).
<b>Scoring that discourages racial and economic concentration</b>	• No.
<b>Mandatory requirements encouraging sustainable development in high-opportunity areas</b>	• No.
<b>Scoring that discourages development in distressed neighborhoods</b>	• No.
<b>Scoring that encourages development in high opportunity areas</b>	• 0 to 10 points awarded for sites located near services, including: transportation, public schools, pharmacies, day care centers, libraries, senior centers, community centers, and etc. (QAP 2008, p. 19-20).
<b>Local participation in site selection limited to statutory minimum</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requires applicants to include the name of the chief executive officer (“CEO”) of the city in which the project will be located so that the Iowa Finance Authority can notify the CEO and give opportunity for comment (QAP 2008, p. 41).</li> <li>• Requires applicants to identify a public or private agency that has made a Local Contributing Effort with a value of at least 1% of the hard construction costs (QAP 2008, p. 16).</li> <li>• Provision cited in 2006 Best Practices report (“Report”) is not in the 9% Tax Credits scoring criteria.</li> </ul>
<b>Mandatory requirements ensuring affirmative marketing</b>	• No.
<b>Scoring that incentivizes affirmative marketing</b>	• No.
<b>Scoring that incentivizes language access and marketing to non-English speaking applicants</b>	• No.
<b>Scoring that promotes Section 8 voucher access in high-opportunity areas</b>	• Requires developers who seek an affordable preservation set-aside to reserve 10% of the units for the preservation of federally assisted housing units, which includes Section 8 rental assistance (QAP 2008, p. 2).
<b>Requirements for monitoring Section 8 voucher access</b>	• No.
<b>Scoring that promotes units designed for persons with disabilities</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requires developers who seek a service enriched set-aside to reserve certain percentages of units for people with disabilities (QAP 2008, p. 2); cited in 2006 Report: provision modified.</li> <li>• Requires developers who seek a service enriched set-aside to implement a comprehensive supportive service plan if they build units for disabled people (QAP 2008, p. 2).</li> <li>• Graded scale of points awarded for projects that develop supportive services for residents with special needs (QAP 2008, p. 19).</li> <li>• 5 points awarded if 100% of the low-income units are handicapped accessible (QAP 2008, p. 21).</li> </ul>
<b>Scoring that promotes marketing to disabled persons</b>	• No.
<b>Scoring that promotes family moves to low-poverty neighborhoods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0 to 19 points awarded for family projects with 3 bedrooms or larger that contain 1.5 bathrooms (QAP 2008, p. 19).</li> <li>• 0 to 10 points awarded for sites located near services, including: public schools and day care centers (QAP 2008, p. 19-20).</li> </ul>
<b>Scoring that promotes units for lowest-income households outside high-poverty neighborhoods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requires developers who seek an affordable assisted living set-aside to reserve no less than 40% of the units to families with incomes at or below 40% of area median gross income (“AMGI”) (QAP 2008, p. 2)</li> <li>• 0 to 20 points awarded for units that are reserved for tenants with incomes at or below 40% of AMGI (QAP 2008, p. 19).</li> </ul>
<b>Racial/demographic reporting requirements</b>	• No.
<b>Other:</b>	• <b>Scoring that promotes eventual tenant ownership:</b> 15 points awarded to projects that implement lease-to-own single family (QAP 2008, p. 16)