

| | STATE: HAWAII |
|--|---|
| Mandatory restrictions prohibiting increases in racial and economic concentration | • No. |
| Scoring that discourages racial and economic concentration | • No. |
| Mandatory requirements encouraging sustainable development in high-opportunity areas | • No. |
| Scoring that discourages development in distressed neighborhoods | • No. |
| Scoring that encourages development in high opportunity areas | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 6 points awarded for site location and market demand; proximity to employment opportunities, recreational facilities, shopping facilities are all part of the consideration (QAP 2008, p. 11). • Up to 10 points awarded to projects for overall feasibility including the services and amenities provided to tenants that will enhance the overall livability of the project (QAP 2008, p. 12). |
| Local participation in site selection limited to statutory minimum | • Up to 3 points awarded if projects applied or received a below market loan from local government or agency. |
| Mandatory requirements ensuring affirmative marketing | • No. |
| Scoring that incentivizes affirmative marketing | • No. |
| Scoring that incentivizes language access and marketing to non-English speaking applicants | • No, provision from the 2006 Best Practices Report was deleted. |
| Scoring that promotes Section 8 voucher access in high-opportunity areas | • 1 point awarded if projects demonstrate that housing will be made available to persons on public housing waiting list (QAP 2008, p. 7). |
| Requirements for monitoring Section 8 voucher access | • No. |
| Scoring that promotes units designed for persons with disabilities | • Up to 2 points awarded to projects providing housing for special needs populations including those with disabilities; the number of points depends on the services provided for the tenants (QAP 2008, p. 9). |
| Scoring that promotes marketing to disabled persons | • No. |
| Scoring that promotes family moves to low-poverty neighborhoods | • Up to 4 points awarded if projects have units with 2 or more bedrooms (QAP 2008, p. 8). |
| Scoring that promotes units for lowest-income households outside high-poverty neighborhoods | • Up to 10 points awarded to projects setting aside a greater percentage of low-income units. A maximum of 10 points will be awarded to a project that commits all units to tenants at or below 40% AMI (QAP 2008, p. 6). |
| Racial/demographic reporting requirements | • No. |
| Other | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring promoting eventual tenant ownership: 1 point awarded to projects offering tenants a right of first refusal and giving the tenants a chance at future ownership (QAP 2008, p. 11). • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: Up to 2 points awarded to projects providing housing for special needs populations including the homeless; the number of points depends on the services provided for the tenants (QAP 2008, p. 9). |