

	<b>STATE: CONNECTICUT</b>
<b>Mandatory restrictions prohibiting increases in racial and economic concentration</b>	• No.
<b>Scoring that discourages racial and economic concentration</b>	• No.
<b>Mandatory requirements encouraging sustainable development in high-opportunity areas</b>	• Requires evidence of availability and access of utilities to the site before an application can be successful (QAP 2008, p. 8).
<b>Scoring that discourages development in distressed neighborhoods</b>	• No.
<b>Scoring that encourages development in high opportunity areas</b>	• 1 or 5 points awarded to projects with responsible growth sites that have grocery stores, transportation, public parks, etc. within a variety of distances (QAP 2008, p. 21); cited in 2006 Best Practices report ("Report"): modified -- language and criteria made more specific.
<b>Local participation in site selection limited to statutory minimum</b>	• 5 points awarded to a project if a state or local governmental authority donates the site (QAP 2008, p. 21-2).
<b>Mandatory requirements ensuring affirmative marketing</b>	• Requires sponsors to undertake strong affirmative measures and to agree to comply with all affirmative fair marketing (QAP
<b>Scoring that incentivizes affirmative marketing</b>	• No.
<b>Scoring that incentivizes language access and marketing to non-English speaking applicants</b>	• No.
<b>Scoring that promotes Section 8 voucher access in high-opportunity areas</b>	• 5 points awarded if projects commit in writing to give priority to households on public housing waiting lists, recipients of HUD vouchers, or state assistance services (QAP 2008, p. 16).
<b>Requirements for monitoring Section 8 voucher access</b>	• Requires at least annually for the 15 year compliance period the owner of the low-income housing project shall certify under penalty of perjury that... an owner cannot refuse to lease a unit in the project to an applicant because the applicant holds a voucher or certificate of eligibility under Section 8 (QAP 2008, p. 36); cited in 2006 Report: no change.
<b>Scoring that promotes units designed for persons with disabilities</b>	• Requires sponsors of the project to undertake strong affirmative measures to ensure the activity funded promotes regional, economic, social and racial integration and the integration of persons with disabilities (QAP 2008, p. 6); cited in 2006 Report on p. 15 and 24: no change.
<b>Scoring that promotes marketing to disabled persons</b>	• 2.5 points awarded to projects that set aside units for persons with disabilities and that actively market those units to disabled persons in waiting lists at local facilities (QAP 2008, p. 20).
<b>Scoring that promotes family moves to low-poverty neighborhoods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 7.5 points awarded to projects based on the percentage of total units with three or more bedrooms (QAP 2008, p. 20); cited in 2006 report: point values and percentages have changed.</li> <li>• Up to 5 points awarded to projects based on the percentage of total units with two or more bedrooms (QAP 2008, p. 20); cited in 2006 Report: point values and percentages have changed.</li> <li>• Up to 18 points awarded for enriched housing and community depending on the services offered such as on-site education opportunities, daycare facility, job training, resident services coordinator, etc (QAP 2008, p. 26-8).</li> </ul>
<b>Scoring that promotes units for lowest-income households outside high-poverty neighborhoods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Up to 5 points awarded to projects that serve households below 25% of AMI depending on percentage of units reserved (QAP 2008, p. 15).</li> <li>• Up to 5 points awarded to projects that serve households between 25% and 50% of the AMI depending on the percentage of units reserved. (QAP 2008, p. 12-6).</li> </ul>

<b>Racial/demographic reporting requirements</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Requires at least annually for the 15 year compliance period the owner of the low-income housing project shall certify under penalty of perjury that...all units in the project for general public use and that no finding of discrimination under the Fair Housing Act occurred (QAP 2008, p. 35).</li> </ul>
<b>Other</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Promoting units for homeless:</b> one of the allocation priority classes is rental housing or service enhanced structures for homeless (QAP 2008, p. 10).</li> </ul>