

	STATE: ALABAMA
Mandatory restrictions prohibiting increases in racial and economic concentration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The market study must demonstrate an adequate market for the proposed units and that the proposed project would not adversely impact any existing AFHA project or create excessive concentration of multi-family units (QAP 2008, p. 7-8); cited in 2006 Best Practices report ("Report"): no change.
Scoring that discourages racial and economic concentration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2 points awarded to a project located in a census tract where the Median Family income from the 2000 census data is 110% or more of the county's current Median Family income in which the project is located (QAP 2008, p. 29).
Mandatory requirements encouraging sustainable development in high-opportunity areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No.
Scoring that discourages development in distressed neighborhoods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unlimited loss of points for selecting a site with negative neighborhood services. 5 points deducted for each junkyard, railroad, liquor store, utility station, etc. that is adjacent to the project site (nearby, but not necessarily touching); 2 points deducted for each of the same incompatible uses if within 1/2 mile of the project site (QAP 2008, p. 29-30). 2 points deducted when the condition of the streets and sidewalks are unsatisfactory; the width of the streets is taken into consideration (QAP 2008, p. 30).
Scoring that encourages development in high opportunity areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to 24 points awarded to a project that selects a site near neighborhood services. 4 points awarded for each grocery store, hospital/doctor office, or pharmacy located within 1 mile of the site; 3 points awarded for each convenience store, bank/credit union, elementary school, senior activity center, public transportation, or child daycare is within 2 miles of the site (QAP 2008, p. 29). 4 points awarded if site's Environmental Site Assessment form certifies that there are no recognized environmental conditions, no environmental regulatory concerns, and no further action is recommended (QAP 2008, p. 29).
Local participation in site selection limited to statutory minimum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No.
Mandatory requirements ensuring affirmative marketing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No.
Scoring that incentivizes affirmative marketing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No.
Scoring that incentivizes language access and marketing to non-English speaking applicants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No.
Scoring that promotes Section 8 voucher access in high-opportunity areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2 points awarded if the project commits in writing to target households on the public housing waiting list (QAP 2008, p. 27).
Requirements for monitoring Section 8 voucher access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No.
Scoring that promotes units designed for persons with disabilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No.
Scoring that promotes marketing to disabled persons	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No.
Scoring that promotes family moves to low-poverty neighborhoods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5 points awarded if projects target low-income families with a minimum of 15% of units having 3 or more bedrooms (QAP 2008, p. 27). Up to 12 points awarded if projects provide services or activities for tenants free of charge. 1 point each is awarded for computer training (monthly), tutoring assistance (weekly), after school program (weekly), etc. (QAP 2008, p. 26-7).

Scoring that promotes units for lowest-income households outside high-poverty neighborhoods	• No, provision in the 2006 Report has been deleted.
Racial/demographic reporting requirements	• No.
Other	• No.