

State	Other
Alabama	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Alaska	<p>Scoring that promotes units for the homeless:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15 points awarded to projects which principally (50% of units or more) serve special needs populations such as the homeless. (QAP 2008, p. 17-8). • 5 points awarded to projects that commit to giving preference to homeless individuals/families in the tenant selection process (QAP 2008, p. 20). • Scoring promoting eventual tenant ownership: 5 points awarded to projects designed and operated so that the units will eventually be sold to tenants (QAP 2008, p. 18-9).
Arizona	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Arkansas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring promoting eventual tenant ownership: 1 point awarded to projects that submit a contract proposal for the right of first refusal for tenants, giving them a right to possible ownership (QAP 2008, p. 16).
California	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: 10 points awarded for special needs housing, including housing for the homeless (QAP 2008, p. 37). • Scoring promoting eventual tenant ownership: Up to 34 points awarded to projects that will convert units to tenant home ownership after required period (QAP 2008, p. 27). • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: Up to 8 points awarded to projects that set-aside at least 33% of units for special needs such as the homeless; the development must provide services such as job counseling, transportation, education, etc. in order to receive points (QAP 2008, p. 30).
Colorado	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promoting units for homeless: one of the allocation priority classes is rental housing or service enhanced structures for homeless (QAP 2008, p. 10).
Connecticut	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless/migrant farm workers: 5 points awarded to projects providing housing for special needs, which includes homelessness and migrant and seasonal farm workers. (QAP 2008, p. 38).
Delaware	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: projects designed to attract and serve the homeless will be targeted (QAP 2008, p. 15, 16, 18). • Projects targeting farm workers: projects which are designed to attract and serve farm workers or commercial fishing workers will be targeted (QAP 2008, p. 15,18). • Projects favoring eventual tenant ownership: projects which provide specific opportunities enabling residents to purchase a unit in the development will be targeted (QAP 2008, p. 19).
Florida	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring promoting eventual tenant ownership: 3 points awarded to projects for submission of a tenant ownership plan at the end of the compliance period (QAP 2008 Draft, Appendix II p. 10-11).
Georgia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring promoting eventual tenant ownership: 1 point awarded to projects offering tenants a right of first refusal and giving the tenants a chance at future ownership (QAP 2008, p. 11). • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: Up to 2 points awarded to projects providing housing for special needs populations including the homeless; the number of points depends on the services provided for the tenants (QAP 2008, p. 9).
Hawaii	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring promoting eventual tenant ownership: 5 points awarded to projects intended for home ownership after 15 year compliance (QAP 2008, p. 23).
Idaho	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring promoting eventual tenant ownership: 1 point awarded to developments intended for eventual tenant ownership (QAP 2008 & 2009 Draft, p. 36).
Illinois	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: 5 points awarded to applicants who set aside a percentage of the units as permanent or transitional housing for the homeless (QAP 2007-2008, p. 20-21). • Scoring that promotes eventual tenant ownership: 1 point awarded to projects that will offer homeownership opportunities to tenants after the 15-year compliance period (QAP 2007-2008, p. 30).
Indiana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring that promotes eventual tenant ownership: 15 points awarded to projects that implement lease-to-own single family home programs (QAP 2008, p. 19).
Iowa	

Kansas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: 5 points awarded to projects that have at least one unit reserved as temporary housing for a homeless family (QAP 2008, p. 11). • Scoring that promotes eventual tenant ownership: 10 points awarded to developers that create single-family housing intended for eventual tenant ownership (QAP 2008, p. 13).
Kentucky	
Louisiana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: 50 points awarded to projects that are single room occupancy and satisfy the need for a homeless shelter (QAP 2007-2008, p. 62). • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: 2 points awarded to projects that give preference in at least 20% of the units to people who are homeless and offers services appropriate to the needs of such people (QAP 2008-2009, p. 15). • Scoring that promotes eventual tenant ownership: 1 point awarded to projects that provide for low-income tenant ownership (QAP 2008, p. 15).
Maine	
Maryland	
Massachusetts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. • Scoring that promotes eventual tenant ownership: 8 points awarded to projects that will offer homeownership opportunities to tenants after the 15-year compliance period (Scoring Summary, p. 9).
Michigan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: 5 to 110 points awarded to projects that propose permanent housing for individuals experiencing long-term homelessness (Worksheet, p. 9). • Scoring that promotes eventual tenant ownership: 1 point awarded to projects that will offer homeownership opportunities to tenants after the 15-year compliance period (Worksheet, p. 7).
Minnesota	
Mississippi	
Missouri	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: under the selection criteria, projects that provide units for the homeless will be given extra consideration (QAP 2008, p. 8).
Montana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Nebraska	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Nevada	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
New Hampshire	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Homelessness: Twenty points (out of 262) for supportive housing. NH 2008 QAP 14.
New Jersey	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
New Mexico	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
New York	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
North Carolina	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
North Dakota	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Ohio	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Three target pools for state money include one for "Permanent Supportive Housing for the Homeless." OH 2008 QAP 25.
Oklahoma	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Oregon	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None.
Pennsylvania	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A special Set-Aside of Tax Credits, in the amount of 5% of the state per capita allocation available, will be established for developments that meet the Agency's requirement for Supportive Housing. To qualify, the development must: provide at least 25% of the total units to eligible populations that are homeless; or to those with mental, physical, sensory, or developmental disabilities; persons with substance abuse disorders; and persons diagnosed with AIDs and related diseases. Pa. 2008 QAP 6. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 30 points will be awarded to developments located in areas that demonstrate large number of seniors eligible for affordable housing, limited affordable housing options and production, close proximity to amenities for the senior population, including health and retail establishments, home health agencies, and hospitals.

Rhode Island	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing for the homeless. The portion of a building used to provide housing for the homeless may be included in the qualified basis. R.I. 2008 QAP 13 • All developers receiving funding under the Rental Production Program must use best efforts to (a) award at least 10% of the total construction contract dollar amount to minority and female owned businesses, and (b) ensure that at least 10% of labor hours for all trades are performed by minorities and/or women. R.I. 2008 QAP 13, 22.
South Carolina	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two points will be awarded for projects that are compatible with the surrounding land use pattern. S.C. 2008 QAP 3.
South Dakota	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "All housing for which tax credits are received must be available to all persons regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, creed, sex, disability, or familial status." S.D. 2008 QAP 19. • Projects which can demonstrate participation by a minority - or woman-owned business enterprise will awarded 10 points. S.D. 2008 QAP 26.
Tennessee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None.
Texas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant must include a certification that the Applicant will attempt to ensure that at least 30% of the construction and management businesses with which the Applicant contracts are Minority Owned Businesses. Tex. 2008 QAP 34. • Each applicant must complete a "self-score" form. This form and the explanatory materials clearly indicates how many points may be awarded for a particular category. Tex. 2008 Competitive 9% HTC Application Supplement.
Utah	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 20% of the tax credits are set aside for projects located in areas that are designated as areas of chronic, economic distress. Utah 2008 QAP 41. • Up to 84 points may be awarded for projects that participate in the Ten-Year Homeless Plan and serves the chronically homeless. Utah 2008 QAP 50.
Vermont	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
Virginia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None.
Washington	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 35 points may be awarded to developments that provide 75% of the total housing units as Housing for the Homeless or as Farmworker Housing. Wash. 2008 LIHTC Policies 58. • Points will be awarded based on the Applicant's commitment to provide a minimum of 10% (5 points), 20% (10 points) or 75% (35 points) of the total housing units for Homeless Households. Wash. 2008 LIHTC Policies 61.
West Virginia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Properties that are managed or developed by women or minorities will receive three or four points. W.Va. 2008 QAP 22. • Up to 25 points will be awarded to properties that reserve at least 25% of the units for homeless, displaced, or elderly persons. W.Va. 2008 QAP 23. • Five points will be awarded to properties where the Architect and Contractor have completed Fair Housing Act and Americans with Disabilities Act training and certification. W.Va. 2008 QAP 35.
Wisconsin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None.
Wyoming	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring that promotes units for the homeless: 2 points awarded if at least 4% of the units are reserved for transitioning homeless households (QAP 2008, p. 18). • Scoring that promotes eventual tenant ownership: up to 35 points awarded to projects that allow eventual tenant ownership of rental properties at the end of the compliance period (QAP 2008, p. 20).