

State**Note**

Alabama

Note: The Alaska QAP incorporates by reference the Notice of Funding Availability ("NOFA") document which sets out additional requirements for tax credit awards and is cited below. NOFA, *available at* http://www.novoco.com/low_income_housing/resource_files/qap/alaska_app_inst_08.pdf

Alaska

Arizona

Arkansas

Note: California sets out its scoring criteria in a Code of Regulations ("Regulations"). Regulations, *available at* http://www.novoco.com/low_income_housing/resource_files/qap/california_regulation_changes_08.pdf

California

Note: This is the information, requirements, and scoring criteria for the 9% credit. There are separate threshold requirements and scoring criteria for the 4% tax credit.

Colorado

Connecticut

Delaware

Note: Florida does not refer to a point scoring system in its QAP, thus the language of the template when referring to the QAP will be "gives preference" or "targeted." The Universal Application and its instructions, incorporated by reference in the QAP, does use a point scoring system and will be cited below. Universal Application *available at* http://www.floridahousing.org/FH-ImageWebDocs/UniversalApps/2008/InstructionsAndApps/2008_Universal_Application.pdf
Universal Application Instructions, *available at* http://www.floridahousing.org/FH-ImageWebDocs/UniversalApps/2008/InstructionsAndApps/2008_Universal_Application_Instructions.pdf

Florida

Georgia

Hawaii

Idaho

Note: Illinois is not included in the 2006 Best Practices report. Below in brackets are recommendations for additions to the 2008 report. (The bracket citations are based on the headings in the 2006 Table of Contents.)

Illinois

Indiana

Iowa

Kansas

Note: The scoring system is set forth in the 2008 RHP Competitive Scoring Guidelines ("Guidelines"). Guidelines, *available at* http://www.novoco.com/low_income_housing/resource_files/qap/kentucky_score_guidelines_08.pdf

Kentucky

Louisiana

Maine

Note: Kentucky is not included in the 2006 Best Practices report. Below in brackets are recommendations for additions to the 2008 report. (The bracket citations are based on the headings in the 2006 Table of Contents.)

Maryland
Massachusetts

Note: The scoring system and some of the criteria are set forth in the Multifamily Rental Financing Program Guide ("Program Guide"). Maryland Department of Housing and Community Development, Program Guide (May 13, 2008), *available at* <http://www.dhcd.state.md.us/Website/programs/rhf/document/2008%20MF%20Guide%20051308%20FINAL.pdf>

Michigan

Note: The scoring system is set forth in the 2008 Scoring Summary ("Scoring Summary"). Scoring Summary, *available at* <http://www.michigan.gov/mshda/0,1607,7-141-5456-31750--,00.html> (follow "2008 Scoring Summary" hyperlink).

Minnesota
Mississippi
Missouri
Montana
Nebraska
Nevada
New Hampshire
New Jersey
New Mexico
New York
North Carolina
North Dakota
Ohio
Oklahoma
Oregon
Pennsylvania
Rhode Island
South Carolina
South Dakota
Tennessee
Texas
Utah
Vermont
Virginia
Washington
West Virginia
Wisconsin
Wyoming

Note: The scoring system is set forth in the Self-Scoring Worksheet 2008 Housing Tax Credit Program ("Worksheet"). Minnesota Housing Finance Agency, Worksheet (Apr. 2007), *available at* www.wchra.com/2008_HTC_Self_Scoring_Wksht.pdf