

State	Scoring that incentivizes language access and marketing to non-English speaking applicants
Alabama	• No.
Alaska	• No.
Arizona	• No.
Arkansas	• No.
California	• No.
Colorado	• No.
Connecticut	• No.
Delaware	• No.
Florida	• No.
Georgia	• No.
Hawaii	• No, provision from the 2006 Best Practices Report was deleted.
Idaho	• No.
Illinois	• No.
Indiana	• No.
Iowa	• No.
Kansas	• Requires developers to complete a minimum of one fair housing activity per year, which includes “publish[ing] bilingual fair housing information for non-English residents in the community” (QAP 2008, p. 53-54); cited in Report: no change.
Kentucky	• No.
Louisiana	• No.
Maine	• No.
Maryland	• No.
Massachusetts	• Not score, but part of "threshold requirement." See Appendix I, MA 2008 QAP 92.
Michigan	• No.
Minnesota	• No.
Mississippi	• No.
Missouri	• No.
Montana	• No.
Nebraska	• No.
Nevada	• No.
New Hampshire	• No.
New Jersey	• No.
New Mexico	• Yes. Two points to projects committed to market to households "listed on public or Indian Housing agency waiting lists." NM 2008 QAP 18.
New York	• No.
North Carolina	• No.
North Dakota	• No.
Ohio	• No.
Oklahoma	• No.
Oregon	• No.
Pennsylvania	• No.
Rhode Island	• No.
South Carolina	• No.
South Dakota	• No.
Tennessee	• No.
Texas	• No.
Utah	• No.
Vermont	• No.
Virginia	• No.
Washington	• No.
West Virginia	• No.
Wisconsin	• No.
Wyoming	• No.