

	Scoring that encourages development in high opportunity areas
State	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 24 points awarded to a project that selects a site near neighborhood services. 4 points awarded for each grocery store, hospital/doctor office, or pharmacy located within 1 mile of the site; 3 points awarded for each convenience store, bank/credit union, elementary school, senior activity center, public transportation, or child daycare is within 2 miles of the site (QAP 2008, p. 29). • 4 points awarded if site's Environmental Site Assessment form certifies that there are no recognized environmental conditions, no environmental regulatory concerns, and no further action is recommended (QAP 2008, p. 29).
Alabama Alaska	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Arizona	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 points awarded to projects in Phoenix or Tucson areas that demonstrate at least three of the following: location within three-mile radius of employers; location one mile or less from mass transit route; location within three miles of city center; and project will be served by existing roads, utilities, and communications infrastructure (QAP 2008, p. 27).
Arkansas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 10 points awarded for site location and proximity to services (grocery stores, medical facilities, public transportation, etc); site suitability is also considered (QAP 2008, p. 18).
California	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 7 points awarded to projects for transit access and amenities, depending on the proximity of the site and frequency of the service (Regulations 2008, p. 23-4). • 2 to 3 points awarded to projects based on proximity to a public park, (Regulations 2008, p. 24). • 2 to 3 points awarded to projects based on proximity to a public library (Regulations 2008, p. 24). • 2 to 3 points awarded to projects based on proximity to a medical clinic or hospital (Regulations 2008, p. 25). • 1 or 2 points awarded to projects based on proximity to a pharmacy (Regulations 2008, p. 25).
Colorado	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Colorado considers, but does not award points, for site suitability. The site is evaluated for proximity to schools, shopping, public transportation etc QAP 2008, p. 5, 9).
Connecticut	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 or 5 points awarded to projects with responsible growth sites that have grocery stores, transportation, public parks, etc. within a variety of distances (QAP 2008, p. 21); cited in 2006 Best Practices report ("Report"): modified -- language and criteria made more specific.
Delaware	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 10 points awarded for a variety of site and neighborhood characteristics including employment opportunities, daycare facilities, walking distance to retail centers, bus line, major roads, town centers, schools, etc. (QAP 2008, p. 42).
Florida	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tie-breaker points awarded for proximity to services such as schools, grocery stores, medical facilities, transportation, etc (Universal Application Instructions 2008, p. 13-6).
Georgia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoring for desirable and undesirable characteristics: up to 10 points awarded to projects with desirable characteristics such within 1 mile of site location in urban centers and 2 miles for rural areas; activities include retail stores, grocery stores, schools, medical facilities, employment centers, etc (QAP 2008 Draft, Appendix II p. 3-4). • 2 points awarded to projects with community transportation options such as bus routes and rapid rail transit (QAP 2008 Draft, Appendix II p. 5).

Hawaii	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 6 points awarded for site location and market demand; proximity to employment opportunities, recreational facilities, shopping facilities are all part of the consideration (QAP 2008, p. 11). • Up to 10 points awarded to projects for overall feasibility including the services and amenities provided to tenants that will enhance the overall livability of the project (QAP 2008, p. 12).
Idaho	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Illinois	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 5 points awarded if projects have nearby site amenities such as transportation, parks, grocery store, school etc (QAP 2008 & 2009 Draft, p. 50-1).
Indiana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 point awarded to projects that are accessible to at least three desirable facilities, including: retail and service establishments, schools, transportation, parks, recreational facilities, medical facilities, libraries, major public/private employers (QAP 2007-2008, p. 27).
Iowa	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0 to 10 points awarded for sites located near services, including: transportation, public schools, pharmacies, day care centers, libraries, senior centers, community centers, and etc. (QAP 2008, p. 19-20).
Kansas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 15 points awarded for projects linked to services, including: credit counseling, homebuyer education, medical counseling, senior citizen center, day care center, and etc. (QAP 2008, p. 13). • High scoring for sites that are in close proximity to retail stores, schools, medical services, hospitals, day care centers, recreational facilities, and churches (QAP 2008, p. 27).
Kentucky	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Louisiana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires developers to show that transportation is close to the site, or a statement of how tenants will access commercial, educational, recreational, and other services if transportation is not nearby (QAP 2007-2008, p. 9). • 4 points awarded for each service located within 1 mile of the site, including: public transportation, grocery store, hospital, elementary school, day care, and pharmacy (QAP 2007-2008, p. 58-59). • 3 points awarded for each service located within 2 miles of the site, including: public library, convenience store, bank and post office (QAP 2007-2008, p. 58-59).
Maine	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 point awarded for recreational activities that are provided on-site or public access is within ½ mile of the site (QAP 2008-2009, p. 14). • 4 points awarded to projects that are within 2,500 feet of at least one destination important to the course of daily activities, such as a convenience store or a public school (QAP 2008-2009, p. 22). • 2 points awarded to projects that are within 2,500 feet of year-round employment opportunities (QAP 2008-2009, p. 22). • 1 point awarded to projects that are within 2,500-5,000 feet of year-round employment opportunities (QAP 2008-2009, p. 22-23). • 1 point awarded to projects that are within 1,500 feet of a regularly scheduled means of public transportation (QAP 2008-2009, p. 23).

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 18 points awarded for linking service programs to the project, including: health care, day care, transportation, recreation centers, and etc. (Program Guide, p. 39). • 6 points awarded if the building and project site, including the nearby surroundings, provide opportunities for recreation, education, convenient access to mass transit or rail systems, and community activities (Program Guide, p. 44).
Maryland	
Massachusetts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes. Six points (out of possible 182) for proximity to transit.
Michigan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 10 points awarded to projects that are located in one of the top 10 job or population growth counties (Worksheet, p. 6). • Up to 5 points awarded to projects that are located in one of the top 20 job or population growth counties (Worksheet, p. 6).
Minnesota	
Mississippi	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Under the selection criteria, projects are "noted" if located in a community with new employment opportunities (QAP 2008, p. 8). • Under the selection criteria, sites are evaluated based on proximity to services, including: public transportation, shopping centers, schools, medical services, and parks (QAP 2008, p. 8).
Missouri	
Montana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Nebraska	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes. One point (out of 140) for site within 1/4 mile of "local transit route" and one point for each service (grocery, pharmacy, bank etc.) within 1/4 mile, for a maximum of three points all together. NV 2008 QAP 45.
Nevada	
New Hampshire	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes. One point (out of) for proximity to a school, day care center, food store, "community center or faith-based organization," public transportation, or park. NJ 2008 QAP 41. Also, two points deducted for proximity to land fill, garbage dump, trash incinerator, nuclear power plant, oil/chemical refinery, or "unremediated Superfund or toxic waste site." <i>Id.</i>
New Jersey	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. There are up to fifteen points available for projects located in counties designated "Priority Geographic Areas." NM 2008 QAP 19.
New Mexico	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes. Park of evaluation includes "proximity of schools, medical and recreational facilities, employment opportunities, appropriate social services, mass transit..." NY 2001 QAP 10.
New York	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes. Maximum of 60 points (out of 100) for "availability, quality and proximity of services, amenities and features," such as grocery store, malls, health care, pharmacies, schools, etc. NC 2008 QAP 10. • It is not explicit, but projects located near sites like wastewater treatment plants lose points. NC 2008 QAP 10.
North Carolina	
North Dakota	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Ohio	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Oklahoma	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Oregon	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.

Pennsylvania	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 30 points will be awarded to developments located in areas that demonstrate low poverty rates, limited affordable housing options, limited affordable housing production in the past 20 years, close proximity to employment, strong housing markets, and high owner-occupied markets. Pa. 2008 QAP 22.
Rhode Island	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed site must support the market population in terms of desirability of location; environmental quality; adequacy of utilities and transportation; proximity to civic, and social and commercial services. R.I. 2008 QAP 18 • Priority will be given to sites in new or existing city and town growth centers and surrounding neighborhoods. R.I. 2008 QAP 22.
South Carolina	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. • Yes. Projects located within 6 city blocks of, or near public transportation that provides access to, community services (grocery/retail stores, hospital/clinics, schools/senior center, special service offices) will be eligible for up to 20 points (5 points for each item). • Projects with Qualified Census Tracts, which contribute to a Concerted Community Revitalization Plan, will receive 50 points. S.D. 2008 QAP 25.
South Dakota	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. • Applications will receive between two and seven points for providing services such as child care, transportation, adult education, leath services, etc. Tex. 2008 QAP 52. • A development can receive up to four points if it will serve families with children (two bedrooms or more) and is located in an elementary school attendance zone where the school has an academic rating of "Exemplary" or "Recognized". Tex. 2008 QAP 54.
Tennessee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. • Applications will receive between two and seven points for providing services such as child care, transportation, adult education, leath services, etc. Tex. 2008 QAP 52. • A development can receive up to four points if it will serve families with children (two bedrooms or more) and is located in an elementary school attendance zone where the school has an academic rating of "Exemplary" or "Recognized". Tex. 2008 QAP 54.
Texas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes. Projects may receive nine points for providing above average non-fee amenities such as day care, education centers, and life skills education classes. Utah 2008 QAP 52.
Utah	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Vermont	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Virginia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Washington	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
West Virginia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No.
Wisconsin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developments demonstrating linkages with public transportation will receive twenty points. Wis. 2008 QAP 6.
Wyoming	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 10 points awarded to projects located within a proximity of 1½ miles (½ mile for elderly) of appropriate services needed by the residents (QAP 2008, p. 20).